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## CHAPTER I

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Background of research

The fixed-point iteration process for nonlinear operators in Hilbert spaces and Banach spaces including Mann, Halpern and Isikawa iterations process have been studied extensively by many authors to approximate fixed point of various classes of operators in both Hilbert spaces and Banach spaces. In 1952, Mann [42] defined Mann iteration in a matrix formulation. In 1967, Halpern [17] introduced the new innovation iteration process which resemble in Mann's iteration.

In 1994, equilibrium problems were introduced by Blum and Oettli [5] and by Noor and Oettli [47] as optimization problems and generalizations of variational inequalities. The equilibrium problem theory provides a novel and united treatment of a wide class of problems which arise in finance, economics, ecology, elasticity, transportation, network and optimization. This theory has had a great impact and influence in the development of several branches of pure and applied sciences.

### 1.2 Objective

The objectives of research project
1.2.1 We construct an iterative scheme of nonexpansive mappings in Hilbert spaces and we prove strong convergence theorems.
1.2.2 Apply iterative scheme to prove strong convergence theorems and reduce condition to other theorems.

### 1.3 Benefits of research

The benefits of research project
1.3.1. To gain the method for construct an iterative scheme of nonexpansive mappings in Hilbert spaces.
1.3.2. To apply iterative scheme to prove strong convergence theorems and reduce condition to other theorems.

## CHAPTER II

## PRELIMINARIES

In this chapter, we give some definitions, notations, and some useful results that will be used in the later chapters.

### 2.1 Basic results.

Definition 2.1. Let $X$ be a linear space over the field $\mathbb{K}(\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C})$. A function $\|\cdot\|: X \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be $a$ norm on $X$ if it satisfies the following conditions:
(1) $\|x\| \geq 0, \forall x \in X$;
(2) $\|x\|=0 \Leftrightarrow x=0 ;$
(3) $\|x+y\| \leq\|x\|+\|y\|, \forall x, y \in X$;
(4) $\|\alpha x\|=|\alpha|\|x\|, \forall x \in X$ and $\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{K}$.

Definition 2.2. Let $(X,\|\cdot\|)$ be a normed space.
(1) A sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subset X$ is said to converge strongly in $X$ if there exists $x \in X$ such that $\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-x\right\|=0$. That is, if for any $\epsilon>0$ there exists a positive integer $N$ such that $\left\|x_{n}-x\right\|<\epsilon, \forall n \geq N$. We often write $\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} x_{n}=x$ or $x_{n} \longrightarrow x$ to mean that $x$ is the limit of the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$.
(2) A sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subset X$ is said to be a Cauchy sequence if for any $\epsilon>0$ there exists a positive integer $N$ such that $\left\|x_{m}-x_{n}\right\|<\epsilon, \forall m, n \geq N$. That is, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $X$ if and only if $\left\|x_{m}-x_{n}\right\| \longrightarrow 0$ as $m, n \longrightarrow \infty$.

Definition 2.3. A normed space $X$ is called complete if every Cauchy sequence in $X$ converges to an element in $X$.

Definition 2.4. An element $x \in C$ is said to be a fixed point of a mapping $S$ : $C \longrightarrow C$ proved $S x=x$. The set of all fixed point of $S$ is denoted by $F(S)=\{x \in$
$C: S x=x\}$.
Definition 2.5. Let $F$ and $X$ be linear spaces over the field $\mathbb{K}$.
(1) A mapping $T: F \longrightarrow X$ is called a linear operator if $T(x+y)=T x+T y$ and $T(\alpha x)=\alpha T x, \forall x, y \in F$, and $\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{K}$.
(2) A mapping $T: F \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}$ is called a linear functional on $F$ if $T$ is a linear operator.

Definition 2.6. Let $F$ and $X$ be normed spaces over the field $\mathbb{K}$ and $T: X \longrightarrow F$ a linear operator. $T$ is said to be bounded on $X$, if there exists a real number $M>0$ such that $\|T(x)\| \leq M\|x\|, \forall x \in X$.

Definition 2.7. Sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in a normed linear space $X$ is said to be $a$ bounded sequence if there exists $M>0$; such that $\left\|x_{n}\right\| \leq M, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 2.8. Let $F$ and $X$ be normed spaces over the field $\mathbb{K}, T: F \longrightarrow X$ an operator and $c \in F$. We say that $T$ is continuous at $c$ if for every $\epsilon>0$ there exists $\delta>0$ such that $\|T(x)-T(c)\|<\epsilon$ whenever $\|x-c\|<\delta$ and $x \in F$. If $T$ is continuous at each $x \in F$, then $T$ is said to be continuous on $F$.

Definition 2.9. A subset $C$ of a normed linear space $X$ is said to be convex subset in $X$ if $\lambda x+(1-\lambda) y \in C$ for each $x, y \in C$ and for each scalar $\lambda \in[0,1]$.

### 2.2 Inner product spaces

Definition 2.10. The real-valued function of two variables $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle: X \times X \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called inner product on a real vector space $X$ if it satisfies the following conditions:

$$
\text { 1) }\langle\alpha x+\beta y, z\rangle=\alpha\langle x, z\rangle+\beta\langle y, z\rangle \text { for all } x, y, z \in X \text { and all }
$$ real number $\alpha$ and $\beta$;

2) $\langle x, y\rangle=\langle y, x\rangle$ for all $x, y \in X$; and
3) $\langle x, x\rangle \geq 0$ for each $x \in X$ and $\langle x, x\rangle=0$ if and only if $x=0$.

A real inner product space is a real vector space equipped with an inner product.

Remark 2.11. Every inner product space is a normed space with respect to the norm $\|x\|=|\langle x, x\rangle|^{\frac{1}{2}}, x, y \in X$.

Definition 2.12. A Hilbert space is an inner product space which is complete under the norm induced by its inner product.

Definition 2.13. A sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in a Hilbert space $H$ is said to converge weakly to a point $x$ in $H$ if $\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left\langle x_{n}, y\right\rangle=\langle x, y\rangle$ for all $y \in H$. The notation $x_{n} \rightharpoonup x$ is sometimes used to denote this kind of convergence.

Definition 2.14. The metric (nearest point) projection $P_{C}$ from a Hilbert space $H$ to a closed convex subset $C$ of $H$ is defined as follows: Given $x \in H, P_{C} x$ is the only point in $C$ with the property

$$
\left\|x-P_{C} x\right\|=\inf \{\|x-y\|: y \in C\} .
$$

Lemma 2.15. Let $H$ be a real Hilbert space, $C$ a closed convex subset of H. Given $x \in H$ and $z \in C$. Then

1) $z=P_{C} x$ if and only if there holds the inequality

$$
\langle x-z, z-y\rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C
$$

2) $\left\|P_{C} x-P_{C} y\right\| \leq\|x-y\|, \forall x, y \in H$.
3) $\left\langle x-y, P_{C} x-P_{C} y\right\rangle \geq\left\|P_{C} x-P_{C} y\right\|^{2}$

### 2.3 Hilbert spaces

Definition 2.16. The real-value function of two variables $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle: X \times X \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called inner product on a real vector space $X$ if it satisfies the following conditions:
(1) $\langle\alpha x+\beta y, z\rangle=\alpha\langle x, z\rangle+\beta\langle y, z\rangle$ for all $x, y, z \in X$ and all real number $\alpha$ and $\beta$;
(2) $\langle x, y\rangle=\langle y, x\rangle$ for all $x, y \in X$; and
(3) $\langle x, x\rangle \geq 0$ for each $x \in X$ and $\langle x, x\rangle=0$ if and only if $x=0$. A real inner product space is a real vector space equipped with an inner product.

Definition 2.17. A Hilbert spaces is an inner product space which is complete under the norm induced by its inner product.

Definition 2.18. The metric (nearest point) projection $P_{C}$ from a Hilbert space $H$ to a closed convex subset $C$ of $H$ is defined as follows: Given $x \in H, P_{C} x$ is the only point in $C$ with the property

$$
\left\|x-P_{C} x\right\|=\inf \{\|x-y\|: y \in C\}
$$

Definition 2.19. For every point $x \in H$, there exists a unique nearest point in $C$, denoted by $P_{C} x$, such that

$$
\left\|x-P_{C} x\right\| \leq\|x-y\| \quad \text { for all } y \in C
$$

$P_{C}$ is called the metric projection of $H$ onto $C$. It is well known that $P_{C}$ is a firmly nonexpansive mapping of $H$ onto $C$ and satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle x-y, P_{C} x-P_{C} y\right\rangle \geq\left\|P_{C} x-P_{C} y\right\|^{2}, \quad \forall x, y \in H \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 2.20. Let $X$ be a normed space, $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subset X$ and $f: X \longrightarrow(-\infty, \infty]$. Then $f$ is said to be

1) lower semicontinuous on $X$ if for any $x_{0} \in X$,
$f\left(x_{0}\right) \leqslant \liminf _{n \longrightarrow \infty} f\left(x_{n}\right)$ whenever $x_{n} \longrightarrow x_{0}$.
2) upper semi (or hemi) continuous on $X$ if for any $x_{0} \in X$, $\limsup _{n \longrightarrow \infty} f\left(x_{n}\right) \leqslant f\left(x_{0}\right)$ whenever $x_{n} \longrightarrow x_{0}$.
3) weakly lower semicontinuous on $X$ if for any $x_{0} \in X$, $f\left(x_{0}\right) \leqslant \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} f\left(x_{n}\right)$ whenever $x_{n} \rightharpoonup x_{0}$.
4) weakly upper semicontinuous on $X$ if for any $x_{0} \in X$,
$\limsup _{n \longrightarrow \infty} f\left(x_{n}\right) \leqslant f\left(x_{0}\right)$ whenever $x_{n} \rightharpoonup x_{0}$.

Definition 2.21. Let $X$ be a normed space. A mapping $T: X \longrightarrow X$ is said to be Lipschitzian if there exists a constant $k \geq 0$ such that for all $x, y \in X$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|T x-T y\| \leq k\|x-y\| \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The smallest number $k$ for which (2.4) holds is called the Lipschitz constant of $T$ and $T$ is called $a$ contraction (nonexpansive mapping) if $k \in(0,1)(\mathrm{k}=1)$.

Definition 2.22. An element $x \in X$ is said to be

1) a fixed point of a mapping $T: X \longrightarrow X$ provided $T x=x$.
2) a common fixed point of two mappings $S, T: X \longrightarrow X$
provided $S x=x=T x$. The set of all fixed points of $T$ is denoted by $F(T)$.
Lemma 2.23. Let $H$ be a real Hilbert space, $C$ a closed convex subset of H. Given $x \in H$ and $y \in C$. Then $y=P_{C} x$ if and only if there holds the inequality

$$
\langle x-y, y-z\rangle \geq 0, \forall z \in C
$$

Definition 2.24. A Linear space or vector space $X$ over the field $\mathbb{K}$ (The real field $\mathbb{R}$ or the complex field $\mathbb{C}$ ) is a set $X$ together with an internal binary operation $"+"$ called addition and a scalar multiplication carrying $(\alpha, x)$ in $\mathbb{K} \times X$ to $\alpha x$ in $X$ satisfying the following for all $x, y, z \in X$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{K}$ :

1) $x+y=y+x$,
2) $(x+y)+z=x+(y+z)$,
3) there exists an element $0 \in X$ called the zero vector of $X$ such that $x+0=x$ for all $x \in X$,
4) for every element $x \in X$, there exists an element $-x \in X$ called the additive inverse or the negative of $x$ such $x+(-x)=0$,
5) $\alpha(x+y)=\alpha x+\alpha y$,
6) $(\alpha+\beta) x=\alpha x+\beta x$,
7) $(\alpha \beta) x=\alpha(\beta x)$,
8) $1 \cdot x=x$.

The elements of a vector space $X$ are called vector, and the elements of $\mathbb{K}$ called
scalars. In the sequel, unless otherwise stated, $X$ denotes a linear space over field $\mathbb{R}$.

Definition 2.25. A subset $C$ of a linear space $X$ is said to be a convex set in $X$ if $\lambda x+(1-\lambda) y \in C$ for each $x, y \in C$ and for each scalar $\lambda \in[0,1]$.

Definition 2.26. Let $X$ and $Y$ be normed spaces over the field $\mathbb{K}, T: X \longrightarrow Y$ an operator and $x_{0} \in X$. We say that $T$ is continuous at $x_{0}$ if for every $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $\delta>0$ such that $\left\|T(x)-T\left(x_{0}\right)\right\|<\varepsilon$ whenever $\left\|x-x_{0}\right\|<\delta$ and $x \in X$. If $T$ is continuous at each $x \in X$, then $T$ is said to be continuous on $X$.

Remark 2.27. A linear operator on a normed space is bounded if and only if it is continuous. We now denote the set of all continuous (or bounded) linear operators of $X$ into $Y$ by $L(X, Y)$.

Definition 2.28. Let $X$ be a normed space. A mapping $T: X \longrightarrow X$ is said to be Lipschitzian if there exists a constant $k \geq 0$ such that for all $x, y \in X$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|T x-T y\| \leq k\|x-y\| \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The smallest number $k$ for which (2.4) holds is called the Lipschitz constant of $T$ and $T$ is called $a$ contraction (nonexpansive mapping) if $k \in(0,1)(k=1)$.

### 2.4 Normed spaces and Banach spaces

Definition 2.29. [10] Let $X$ be a linear space over the field $\mathbb{K}(\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C})$. A function $\|\cdot\|: X \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}$ is said to be $a$ norm on $X$ if it satisfies the following conditions:

1) $\|x\| \geq 0, \forall x \in X$;
2) $\|x\|=0 \Leftrightarrow x=0$;
3) $\|x+y\| \leq\|x\|+\|y\|, \forall x, y \in E$;
4) $\|\alpha x\|=|\alpha|\|x\|, \forall x \in E$ and $\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{K}$.

We use the notation $\|\cdot\|$ for norm.

Definition 2.30. [10] Let $(X,\|\cdot\|)$ be a normed space.

1) A sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subset X$ is said to converge strongly in $X$ if there exists $x \in X$ such that $\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-x\right\|=0$. That is, if for any $\varepsilon>0$ there exists a positive integer $N$ such that $\left\|x_{n}-x\right\|<\varepsilon, \forall n \geq N$. We often write $\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} x_{n}=x$ or $x_{n} \longrightarrow x$ to mean that $x$ is the limit of the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$.
2) A sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subset X$ is said to be $a$ Cauchy sequence if for any $\varepsilon>0$ there exists a positive integer $N$ such that $\left\|x_{m}-x_{n}\right\|<\varepsilon, \forall m, n \geq N$. That is, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $X$ if and only if $\left\|x_{m}-x_{n}\right\| \longrightarrow 0$ as $m, n \longrightarrow$ $\infty$.
3) A sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subset X$ is said to be a bounded sequence if there exists $M>0$ such that $\left\|x_{n}\right\| \leqslant M, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 2.31. [10] A normed space $X$ is called to be complete if every Cauchy sequence in $X$ converges to an element in $X$.

Definition 2.32. [10] A complete normed linear space over field $\mathbb{K}$ is called $a$ Banach space over $\mathbb{K}$

Definition 2.33. [10] Let $X$ and $Y$ be linear spaces over the field $\mathbb{K}$.

1) A mapping $T: X \longrightarrow Y$ is called a linear operator if $T(x+$ $y)=T x+T y$ and $T(\alpha x)=\alpha T x, \forall x, y \in X$, and $\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{K}$.
2) A mapping $T: X \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}$ is called a linear functional on $X$ if $T$ is a linear operator.

Definition 2.34. [10] Let $X$ and $Y$ be normed spaces over the field $\mathbb{K}$ and $T$ : $X \longrightarrow Y$ a linear operator. $T$ is said to be bounded on $X$, if there exists a real number $M>0$ such that $\|T(x)\| \leq M\|x\|, \forall x \in X$.

Definition 2.35. [10] Let $X$ and $Y$ be normed spaces over the field $\mathbb{K}, T: X \longrightarrow Y$ an operator and $x_{0} \in X$. We say that $T$ is continuous at $x_{0}$ if for every $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $\delta>0$ such that $\left\|T(x)-T\left(x_{0}\right)\right\|<\varepsilon$ whenever $\left\|x-x_{0}\right\|<\delta$ and $x \in X$. If $T$ is continuous at each $x \in X$, then $T$ is said to be continuous on $X$.

Definition 2.36. [11] Let $X$ be a normed space, $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subset X$ and $f: X \longrightarrow$ $(-\infty, \infty]$. Then $f$ is said to be

1) lower semicontinuous on $X$ if for any $x_{0} \in X$,
$f\left(x_{0}\right) \leqslant \liminf _{n \longrightarrow \infty} f\left(x_{n}\right)$ whenever $x_{n} \longrightarrow x_{0}$.
2) upper semi (or hemi) continuous on $X$ if for any $x_{0} \in X$,
$\limsup _{n \longrightarrow \infty} f\left(x_{n}\right) \leqslant f\left(x_{0}\right)$ whenever $x_{n} \longrightarrow x_{0}$.
3) weakly lower semicontinuous on $X$ if for any $x_{0} \in X$, $f\left(x_{0}\right) \leqslant \liminf _{n \longrightarrow \infty} f\left(x_{n}\right)$ whenever $x_{n} \rightharpoonup x_{0}$.
4) weakly upper semicontinuous on $X$ if for any $x_{0} \in X$, $\limsup _{n \longrightarrow \infty} f\left(x_{n}\right) \leqslant f\left(x_{0}\right)$ whenever $x_{n} \rightharpoonup x_{0}$.

Definition 2.37. [10] Let $X$ be a normed space. A mapping $T: X \longrightarrow X$ is said to be Lipschitzian if there exists a constant $k \geq 0$ such that for all $x, y \in X$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|T x-T y\| \leq k\|x-y\| \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The smallest number $k$ for which (2.4) holds is called the Lipschitz constant of $T$ and $T$ is called $a$ contraction (nonexpansive mapping) if $k \in(0,1)(\mathrm{k}=1)$.

Definition 2.38. [10] An element $x \in X$ is said to be

1) a fixed point of a mapping $T: X \longrightarrow X$ provided $T x=x$.
2) a common fixed point of two mappings $S, T: X \longrightarrow X$ provided $S x=x=T x$. The set of all fixed points of $T$ is denoted by $F(T)$.

Theorem 2.39. (Banach contraction principle, [10]) Every contraction mapping $T$ defined on a Banach space $X$ into itself has a unique fixed point $x^{*} \in X$.

Definition 2.40. [10] Let $X$ be a normed space. Then the set of all bounded linear functionals on $X$ is called a dual space of $X$ and is denoted by $X^{*}$.

Definition 2.41. [10] A normed space $X$ is said to be reflexive if the canonical mapping $G: X \longrightarrow X^{* *}$ (i.e. $G(x)=g_{x}$ for all $x \in X$ where $g_{x}(f)=f(x)$ for all $\left.f \in X^{*}\right)$ is surjective.

Definition 2.42. [11] A Banach space $X$ is said to be strictly convex if $\left\|\frac{x+y}{2}\right\|<1$ for all $x, y \in X$ with $\|x\|=\|y\|=1$ and $x \neq y$

Definition 2.43. [12] A Banach space $X$ is said to be uniformly convex if for each $0<\varepsilon \leqslant 2$, there is $\delta>0$ such that $\forall x, y \in X$, the condition $\|x\|=\|y\|=1$, and $\|x-y\| \geqslant \varepsilon$ imply $\left\|\frac{x+y}{2}\right\| \leqslant 1-\delta$.

Theorem 2.44. [12] Let $X$ be a Banach space. Then $X$ is uniformly convex if and only if $\delta(\varepsilon)>0$ for all $\varepsilon \in(0,2]$.

Definition 2.45. [11] Let $X$ be a Banach space and $S=\{x \in X:\|x\|=1\}$. Then $X$ is said to be smooth if the limit

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|x+t y\|-\|x\|}{t} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

exists for all $x, y \in S$. It is also said to be uniformly smooth if the limit (2.5) is attained uniformly for $x, y \in S$.

Remark 2.46. [11] 1) $X$ is uniformly convex if and only if $X^{*}$ is uniformly smooth.
2) $X$ is smooth if and only if $X^{*}$ is strictly convex.

Definition 2.47. [11] Let $X^{*}$ be dual space of a Banach space $X$. The mapping $J: X \longrightarrow X^{*}$ defined by

$$
J(x)=\left\{x^{*} \in X:\left\langle x^{*}, x\right\rangle=\|x\|^{2}=\left\|x^{*}\right\|^{2}\right\}, \text { for all } x \in X
$$

is called the duality mapping of $X$.
Lemma 2.48. [11] Let $X$ be a strictly convex, smooth, and reflexive Banach space, and let $J$ be the duality mapping from $X$ into $X^{*}$. Then $J^{-1}$ is also single-valued, one-to-one, and surjective, and it is the duality mapping from $X^{*}$ into $X$.

Lemma 2.49. [13] Let $X$ be a reflexive Banach space and $X^{*}$ be strictly convex.
(i) The duality mapping $J: X \longrightarrow X^{*}$ is single-valued, surjective and bounded.
(ii) If $X$ and $X^{*}$ are locally uniformly convex, then $J$ is a homeomorphism, that is, $J$ and $J^{-1}$ are continuous single-valued mappings.

Definition 2.50. [14] Let $p$ be a fixed real number with $p \geq 1$. A Banach space $X$ is said to be $p$-uniformly convex if there exists a constant $c>0$ such that $\delta(\varepsilon) \geq c \varepsilon^{p}$ for all $\varepsilon \in(0,2]$.

Definition 2.51. [11] For each $p>1$, the generalized duality mapping $J_{p}: X \longrightarrow$ $2^{X^{*}}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{p}(x)=\left\{x^{*} \in X^{*}:\left\langle x, x^{*}\right\rangle=\|x\|^{p},\left\|x^{*}\right\|=\|x\|^{p-1}\right\} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$.

Remark 2.52. [11] 1) $J=J_{2}$ is called the normalized duality mapping. If $X$ is a Hilbert space (the next section), then $J=I$, where $I$ is the identity mapping.
2) If $X$ is uniformly smooth, then $J$ is uniformly norm-to-norm continuous on each bounded subset of $E$.

Definition 2.53. [11] Let $S(E)=\{x \in E:\|x\|=1\}$ denote the unit sphere of a Banach space $E$. A Banach space $E$ is said to have

- a Gâteaux differentiable norm (we also say that E is smooth), if the limit

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \longrightarrow 0} \frac{\|x+t y\|-\|x\|}{t} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

exists for each $x, y \in S(E)$;

- a uniformly Gâteaux differentiable norm, if for each y in $S(E)$, the limit (2.7) is uniformly attained for $x \in S(E)$;
- a Fréchet differentiable norm, if for each $x \in S(E)$, the limit (2.7) is attained uniformly for $y \in S(E)$;
- a uniformly Fréchet differentiable norm (we also say that $E$ is uniformly smooth), if the limit (2.7) is attained uniformly for $(x, y) \in S(E) \times S(E)$.

Definition 2.54. [11] A Banach space $E$ is said to have Kadec-Klee property if a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ of $E$ satisfying that $x_{n} \rightharpoonup x \in E$ and $\left\|x_{n}\right\| \longrightarrow\|x\|$, then $x_{n} \longrightarrow x$.

It is known that if $E$ is uniformly convex, then $E$ has the Kadec-Klee property.

Definition 2.55. [15] Let $X$ be a smooth Banach space. The function $\phi: X \times$ $X \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(x, y)=\|x\|^{2}-2\langle x, J y\rangle+\|y\|^{2} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

Remark 2.56. (1) $(\|y\|-\|x\|)^{2} \leqslant \phi(y, x) \leqslant(\|y\|+\|x\|)^{2}$, for all $x, y \in X$.
(2) $\phi(x, y)=\phi(x, z)+\phi(z, y)+2\langle x-z, J z-J y\rangle$, for all $x, y, z \in X$.
(3) $\phi(x, y)=\langle x, J x-J y\rangle+\langle y-x, J y\rangle \leqslant\|x\|\|J x-J y\|+\|y-x\|\|y\|$, for all $x, y \in X$.
(4) In a Hilbert space $H$, we have $\phi(x, y)=\|x-y\|^{2}$ for all $x, y \in H$.

Definition 2.57. [16] Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a smooth, strictly convex, and reflexive Banach space $X$, for any $x \in X$, there exists a point $x_{0} \in C$ such that $\phi\left(x_{0}, x\right)=\min _{y \in C} \phi(y, x)$. The mapping $\Pi_{C}: X \longrightarrow C$ defined by $\Pi_{C} x=x_{0}$ is called the generalized projection.

The following are well-known results.

Lemma 2.58. [17] Let E be a reflexive, strictly convex and smooth Banach space, let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $E$ and let $x \in E$. Then

$$
\phi\left(y, \Pi_{C} x\right)+\phi\left(\Pi_{C} x, x\right) \leqslant \phi(y, x)
$$

for all $y \in C$.

Lemma 2.59. [16] Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a smooth Banach space $X$, let $x \in X$, and let $x_{0} \in C$. Then, $x_{0}=\Pi_{C} x$ if and only if $\left\langle x_{0}-y, J x-\right.$ $\left.J x_{0}\right\rangle \geqslant 0$ for all $y \in C$.

Lemma 2.60. [4] Let $C$ be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of a Hilbert space $H$ and let $T: C \longrightarrow C$ be a firmly nonexpansive mapping with $F(T) \neq \emptyset$. Then $\langle x-T x, T x-z\rangle \geq 0$ for all $x \in C$ and $z \in F(T)$.

Lemma 2.61. [65] Let $T^{\lambda} x=T x-\lambda \mu f(T x)$, where $T: H \longrightarrow H$ is a nonexpansive mapping from $H$ into itself and $f$ is an $\eta$-strongly monotone and $k$-Lipschitzian mapping from $H$ into itself. If $0 \leq \lambda<1$ and $0<\mu<2 \eta / k^{2}$, then $T^{\lambda}$ is a contraction and satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T^{\lambda} x-T^{\lambda} y\right\| \leq(1-\lambda \tau)\|x-y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in H \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tau=1-\sqrt{1-\mu\left(2 \eta-\mu k^{2}\right)}$.
Lemma 2.62. [48] Let $\left\{a_{n}\right\},\left\{b_{n}\right\}$ and $\delta_{n}$ be sequences of nonnegative real numbers satisfying the inequality,

$$
a_{n+1} \leq\left(1-\delta_{n}\right) a_{n}+b_{n}, \quad n \geq 1
$$

If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \delta_{n}<\infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n}<\infty$, then $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n}$ exists. If in addition, $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ has a subsequence which converges strongly to zero, then $\lim _{n} \longrightarrow \infty a_{n} \longrightarrow 0$.

Lemma 2.63. [54] Suppose $E$ is a uniformly convex Banach space and $0<p \leq$ $t_{n} \leq q<1$ for all positive integers $n$. Also suppose that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ are two sequence of $E$ such that $\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}\right\| \leq r, \lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|y_{n}\right\| \leq r$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|t_{n} x_{n}+\left(1-t_{n}\right) y_{n}\right\|=r$ hold for some $r \geq 0$. Then $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|=0$.

Lemma 2.64. [13] Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space $H$ and $T$ a nonexpansive mapping from $C$ into itself. If $T$ has a fixed point, then $I-T$ is demiclosed at zero, where $I$ is the identity mapping of $H$, that is, whenever $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence in $C$ weakly converging to some $x \in C$ and the sequence $\left\{(I-T) x_{n}\right\}$ strongly converges to some $y$, it follows that $(I-T) x=y$.

Lemma 2.65. [11] Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $H$. Let $F$ : $C \times C \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a bifunction satisfying (A1)-(A4) and let $\varphi: C \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a lower semicontinuous and convex function. For $r>0$ and $x \in H$, define a mapping $T_{r}: H \longrightarrow C$ as follows:

$$
T_{r}(x)=\left\{z \in C: F(z, y)+\varphi(y)-\varphi(z)+\frac{1}{r}\langle y-z, z-x\rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C\right\}
$$

for all $x \in H$. Assume that either (B1) or (B2) holds. Then, the following conclusions hold:

1. For each $x \in H, T_{r}(x) \neq \emptyset$.
2. $T_{r}$ is single-valued;
3. $T_{r}$ is firmly nonexpansive, i.e., for any $x, y \in H,\left\|T_{r} x-T_{r} y\right\|^{2} \leq\left\langle T_{r} x-\right.$ $\left.T_{r} y, x-y\right\rangle ;$
4. $F\left(T_{r}\right)=M E P(F, \varphi)$.
5. $\operatorname{MEP}(F, \varphi)$ is closed and convex.

A Banach space $E$ is said to satisfy Opial's condition if for any sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $E, x_{n} \rightharpoonup x(n \rightarrow \infty)$ implies

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-x\right\|<\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-y\right\|, \forall y \in E \text { with } x \neq y
$$

By [14, Theorem 1], it is well known that if $E$ admits a weakly sequentially continuous duality mapping, then $E$ satisfies Opial's condition, and $E$ is smooth.

We need the following lemmas for proving our main results.

Proposition 2.66. ([51]) Let $E$ be a smooth banach space and let $C$ be a nonempty subset of $E$. Let $Q: E \rightarrow C$ be a retraction and let $J$ be the normalized duality mapping on $E$. Then the following are equivalent:
(i) $Q$ is sunny and nonexpansive;
(ii) $\|Q x-Q y\|^{2} \leq\langle x-y, J(Q x-Q y)\rangle, \forall x, y \in E$;
(iii) $\langle x-Q x, J(y-Q x)\rangle \leq 0, \forall x \in E, y \in C$.

If $J_{q}$ is the generalized duality mapping on $E$ then $\left\langle x-Q x, J_{q}(y-Q x)\right\rangle \leq 0, \forall x \in$ $E, y \in C$ is equivalent to this Proposition (see [55]).

Proposition 2.67. ([12, 29, 26]) Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a uniformly convex and uniformly smooth Banach space $E$ and let $T$ be a nonexpansive mapping of $C$ into itself with $F(T) \neq \emptyset$. Then the set $F(T)$ is a sunny nonexpansive retract of $C$.

Lemma 2.68. ([3]) Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a smooth Banach space $E$. Let $Q_{C}$ be a sunny nonexpansive retraction from $E$ onto $C$ and let $A$ be an accretive operator of $C$ into $E$. Then, for all $\lambda>0$,

$$
V I(C, A)=F(Q(I-\lambda A))
$$

where $V I(C, A)=\left\{x^{*} \in C:\left\langle A x^{*}, J\left(x-x^{*}\right)\right\rangle \geq 0, \forall x \in C\right\}$.
Lemma 2.69. ([6]) Let $C$ be a nonempty bounded closed convex subset of a uniformly convex Banach space $E$ and $T: C \rightarrow C$ be a nonexpansive mapping. If $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence of $C$ such that $x_{n} \rightharpoonup x$ and $x_{n}-T x_{n} \rightarrow 0$ then $x$ is a fixed point of $T$.

Lemma 2.70. ([63]) Let $r>0$ and let $E$ be a uniformly convex Banach space. Then, there exists a continuous, strictly increasing and convex function $g:[0, \infty) \rightarrow$ $[0, \infty)$ with $g(0)=0$ such that

$$
\|\lambda x+(1-\lambda) y\|^{2} \leq \lambda\|x\|^{2}+(1-\lambda)\|y\|^{2}-\lambda(1-\lambda) g(\|x-y\|)
$$

for all $x, y \in B_{r}:=\{z \in E:\|z\| \leq r\}$ and $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$.
Lemma 2.71. ([27]) Let $E$ be a real smooth and uniformly convex Banach space and let $r>0$. Then there exists a strictly increasing, continuous and convex function $g:[0,2 r] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $g(0)=0$ and

$$
g(\|x-y\|) \leq\|x\|^{2}-2\langle x, J y\rangle+\|y\|^{2}, \forall x, y \in B_{r}
$$

where $B_{r}=\{z \in E:\|z\| \leq r\}$.

Lemma 2.72. ([63]) Let $E$ be a real $q$-uniformly smooth Banach space, then there exists a constant $c_{q}>0$ such that

$$
\|x+y\|^{q} \leq\|x\|^{q}+q\left\langle y, J_{q}(x)\right\rangle+c_{q}\|y\|^{q}, \forall x, y \in E .
$$

In particular, if $E$ is real 2-uniformly smooth Banach space, then there exists a best smooth constant $K>0$ such that

$$
\|x+y\|^{2} \leq\|x\|^{2}+2\langle y, J(x)\rangle+2 K\|y\|^{2}, \forall x, y \in E .
$$

Lemma 2.73. ([38]) Let $E$ be a real Banach space and $J: E \rightarrow 2^{E^{*}}$ be the normalized duality mapping. Then, for any $x, y \in E$, we have

$$
\|x+y\|^{2} \leq\|x\|^{2}+2\langle y, j(x+y)\rangle
$$

for all $j(x+y) \in J(x+y)$ with $x \neq y$.

Lemma 2.74. ([57]) Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ be bounded sequences in a Banach space $X$ and let $\left\{\beta_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence in $[0,1]$ with $0<\lim _{\inf }^{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_{n} \leq \lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_{n}<1$. Suppose $x_{n+1}=\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) y_{n}+\beta_{n} x_{n}$ for all integers $n \geq 0$ and $\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\| y_{n+1}-\right.$ $\left.y_{n}\|-\| x_{n+1}-x_{n} \|\right) \leq 0$. Then, $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|y_{n}-x_{n}\right\|=0$.

Lemma 2.75. ([64]) Assume $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence of nonnegative real numbers such that

$$
a_{n+1} \leq\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) a_{n}+\delta_{n}, n \geq 0
$$

where $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence in $(0,1)$ and $\left\{\delta_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence in $\mathbb{R}$ such that
(1) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n}=\infty$
(2) $\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\delta_{n}}{\alpha_{n}} \leq 0$ or $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|\delta_{n}\right|<\infty$.

Then $\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} a_{n}=0$.

Lemma 2.76. ([52,56]) Let $C$ be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of a real q-uniformly smooth Banach space $E, L_{2}: C \rightarrow E$ be a $\kappa$-Lipschitzian and $\eta$-strongly accretive operator with constants $\kappa, \eta>0$ and let $0<\mu<\left(\frac{q \eta}{c_{q} \kappa^{q}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}}$, $\tau=\mu\left(\eta-\frac{c_{q} \mu^{q-1} \kappa^{q}}{q}\right)$, then for $t \in\left(0, \min \left\{1, \frac{1}{\tau}\right\}\right)$, the mapping $S: C \rightarrow E$ defined by $S:=\left(I-t \mu L_{2}\right)$ is a contraction with a constant $1-t \tau$.

Lemma 2.77. ([55]) Let $C$ be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of a real reflexive and $q$-uniformly smooth Banach space $E$ which admits a weakly sequentially continuous generalized duality mapping $J_{q}$ from $E$ into $E^{*}$. Let $Q_{C}$ be a sunny nonexpansive retraction from $E$ onto $C, V: C \rightarrow E$ a $k$-Lipschitzian and $\eta$-strongly accretive operator with constants $k, \eta>0$. Suppose $f: C \rightarrow E$ is a L-Lipschitzian mapping with constant $L>0$ and $T: C \rightarrow C$ a nonexpansive mapping such that $F(T) \neq \emptyset$. Let $0<\mu<\left(\frac{q \eta}{c_{q} \kappa^{q}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}}$ and $0 \leq \gamma L<\tau$ where $\tau=\mu\left(\eta-\frac{c_{q} \mu^{q-1} \kappa^{q}}{q}\right)$. Then $\left\{x_{t}\right\}$ defined by $x_{t}=Q_{C}\left[t \gamma f x_{t}+(I-t \mu V) T x_{t}\right]$ converges strongly to some point $x^{*} \in F(T)$ as $t \rightarrow 0$, which is the unique solution of the variational inequality:

$$
\left\langle\gamma f x^{*}-\mu V x^{*}, J_{q}\left(p-x^{*}\right)\right\rangle \leq 0, \forall p \in F(T) .
$$

Lemma 2.78. ([55]) Let $C$ be a closed convex subset of a smooth Banach space $E$. Let $\tilde{C}$ be a nonempty subset of $C$. Let $Q: C \rightarrow \tilde{C}$ be a retraction and let $J, J_{q}$ be the normalized duality mapping and generalized duality mapping on $E$, respectively. Then the following are equivalent:
(i) $Q$ is sunny and nonexpansive;
(ii) $\|Q x-Q y\|^{2} \leq\langle x-y, J(Q x-Q y)\rangle, \forall x, y \in E$;
(iii) $\langle x-Q x, J(y-Q x)\rangle \leq 0, \forall x \in C, y \in \tilde{C}$;
(iv) $\left\langle x-Q x, J_{q}(y-Q x)\right\rangle \leq 0, \forall x \in C, y \in \tilde{C}$.

Lemma 2.79. ([46]) Let $q>1$. Then the following inequality holds:

$$
a b \leq \frac{1}{q} a^{q}+\frac{q-1}{q} b^{\frac{q}{q-1}}
$$

for arbitrary positive real numbers $a, b$.

## CHAPTER III

## METHODS

Let $H$ be a real Hilbert space with inner product $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ and norm $\|\cdot\|$. A mapping $T: H \longrightarrow H$ is said to be nonexpansive if $\|T x-T y\| \leq\|x-y\|$ for any $x, y \in H$. A mapping $f: H \longrightarrow H$ is said to be $\eta$-strongly monotone if there exists constant $\eta>0$ such that $\langle f x-f y, x-y\rangle \geq \eta\|x-y\|^{2}$ for any $x, y \in H$. A mapping $f: H \longrightarrow H$ is said to be $k$-Lipschitzian if there exists a constant $k>0$ such that $\|f x-f y\| \leq k\|x-y\|$ for any $x, y \in H$.

Let $D$ be a subset of a Hilbert space $H$. Recall that two mappings $S, T$ : $D \longrightarrow D$ are said to satisfy condition $\left(A^{\prime}\right)$ which is given in [39] if there exists a nondecreasing function $f:[0, \infty) \longrightarrow[0, \infty)$ with $f(0)=0, f(r)>0$ for all $r \in(0, \infty)$ such that $(1 / 2)(\|x-T x\|+\|x-S x\|) \geq f(d(x, f))$ for all $x \in D$, where $d(x, \mathcal{F})=\inf \left\{\left\|x-x^{*}\right\|: x^{*} \in \mathcal{F}=F(T) \cap F(S)\right\}$. We modify this condition for three mappings $S, T, K: C \longrightarrow C$ as follows:

Three mappings $S, T, K: C \longrightarrow C$ where $C$ a subset of $H$, are said to satisfy condition $\left(A^{\prime \prime}\right)$ if there exists a nondecreasing function $f:[0, \infty) \longrightarrow[0, \infty)$ with $f(0)=0, f(r)>0$ for all $r \in(0, \infty)$ such that $(1 / 3)(\|x-T x\|+\|x-S x\|+$ $\|x-K x\|) \geq f(d(x, f))$ for all $x \in C$, where $d\left(x, \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right)=\inf \left\{\left\|x-x^{*}\right\|: x^{*} \in \mathcal{F}^{\prime}=\right.$ $F(T) \cap F(S) \cap F(K)\}$. Note that condition $\left(A^{\prime \prime}\right)$ reduces to condition $\left(A^{\prime}\right)$ when $K=S$.

Let $f: H \longrightarrow H$ be a nonlinear mapping and $C$ a nonempty closed convex subset of $H$. The variational inequality problem with a mapping $f$ on $C(V I(C, f)$ in short) is formulated as finding a point $u^{*} \in C$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle f\left(u^{*}\right), v-u^{*}\right\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall v \in C \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The variational inequalities were initially studied by Kinderlehrer and Stampacchia [41], and ever since have been widely studied. It is well known that the $V I(C, f)$ is equivalent to the fixed point equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{*}=P_{C}\left(u^{*}-\mu f\left(u^{*}\right)\right), \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{C}$ is the projection from $H$ onto $C$ and $\mu$ is an arbitrarily fixed constant. In fact, when $f$ is an $\eta$-strongly monotone and Lipschitzian mapping on $C$ and $\mu>0$ small enough, then the mapping defined by the right hand side of (2.11) is a contraction.

For reducing the complexity of computation caused by the projection $P_{C}$, Yamada [65] proposed an iteration method to solve the variational inequalities $V I(C, f)$. For arbitrary $u \in H$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n+1}=T u_{n}-\lambda_{n+1} \mu f\left(T\left(u_{n}\right)\right), \quad n \geq 0 \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T$ is a nonexpansive mapping from $H$ into itself, $C$ is the fixed point set of $T, f$ is an $\eta$-strongly monotone and $k$-Lipschitzian mapping on $K,\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$ is a real sequence in $[0,1)$, and $0<\mu<2 \eta / k^{2}$. Then Yamada [65] proved that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to the unique solution of the $V I(C, f)$ as $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$ satisfies the following conditions:

1. $\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} \lambda_{n}=0$;
2. $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{n}=\infty$;
3. $\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left(\lambda_{n}-\lambda_{n+1}\right) / \lambda_{n+1}^{2}=0$.

Based on the idea of iterative process (2.12), recently, Wang [60] discussed the more general Mann iterative scheme as follows: Let $H$ be a Hilbert space, $T: H \longrightarrow H$ a nonexpansive mapping with $F(T):=\{x \in H, T x=x\} \neq \emptyset$, and $f: H \longrightarrow H$ an $\eta$-strongly monotone and $k$-Lipschitzian mapping. For any
$x_{0} \in H,\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}=a_{n} x_{n}+\left(1-a_{n}\right) T^{\lambda_{n+1}} x_{n}, \quad \forall n \geq 0 \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{\lambda} x=T x-\lambda \mu f(T x), \quad \forall x \in H \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{a_{n}\right\} \subset(0,1)$ and $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\} \subset[0,1)$ with some suitable conditions. Then the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is shown to converge strongly to a fixed point of $T$, and the necessary and sufficient conditions that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to a fixed point of $T$ are obtained.

Theorem I [19]. If $C$ is a compact convex subset of a Hilbert space $H, T: C \longrightarrow C$ is a Lipschitzian pseudo-contractive mapping. For $x_{0} \in C$, define the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ iteratively by

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{n} & =\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) x_{n}+\beta_{n} T x_{n}, \\
x_{n+1} & =\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) x_{n}+\alpha_{n} T y_{n}, \quad \forall n \geq 0, \tag{2.15}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\},\left\{\beta_{n}\right\}$ are sequences of positive numbers satisfying the conditions
(i) $0 \leq \alpha_{n} \leq \beta_{n}<1$;
(ii) $\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} \beta_{n}=0$;
(iii) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n} \beta_{n}=\infty$.

Then the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ defined by (2.15) converges strongly to a fixed point of $T$.

Let $\varphi: C \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a real-valued function and $F: C \times C \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an equilibrium bifunction, i.e., $F(u, u)=0$ for each $u \in C$. The mixed equilibrium problem (for short, $M E P$ ) is to find $x^{*} \in C$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
M E P: F\left(x^{*}, y\right)+\varphi(y)-\varphi\left(x^{*}\right) \geq 0, \forall y \in C . \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The set of solutions for the problem $\operatorname{MEP}(2.16)$ is denoted by $\operatorname{MEP}(F, \varphi)$.

## Special cases.

(1) If $\varphi \equiv 0$, then MEP (2.16) reduces to the following classical equilibrium problem (for short, $E P$ ):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Finding } x^{*} \in C \text { such that } F\left(x^{*}, y\right) \geq 0, \forall y \in C \text {. } \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The set of solutions for the problem $E P(2.17)$ is denoted by $E P(F)$.
(2) If $\varphi \equiv 0$ and $F(x, y)=\langle A x, y-x\rangle$ for all $x, y \in C$, where $A$ is a mapping from $C$ into $H$, then $M E P(2.16)$ reduces to the following classical variational inequality problem (for short $V I P$ ):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Finding } x^{*} \in C \text { such that }\left\langle A x^{*}, y-x^{*}\right\rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C \text {. } \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The set of solutions for the problem $V I P(2.18)$ is denoted by $V I(C, A)$.
(3) If $F \equiv 0$, then $M E P$ (2.16) becomes the following minimize problem:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Finding } x^{*} \in C \text { such that } \varphi(y)-\varphi\left(x^{*}\right) \geq 0, \forall y \in C \text {. } \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The set of solutions for the problem (2.19) is denoted by $\operatorname{Argmin}(\varphi)$.

The problem (2.16) is very general in the sense that it includes, as special cases, fixed point problems, optimization problems, variational inequality problems, Nash equilibrium problems, the equilibrium problems and others; see, e.g., [5, 7, 40] and the reference therein.

For solving the mixed equilibrium problem for an equilibrium bifunction $F: C \times C \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$, let us assume that $F$ satisfies the following conditions:
(A1) $F(x, x)=0$ for all $x \in C$;
(A2) $F$ is monotone, i.e., $F(x, y)+F(y, x) \leq 0$ for any $x, y \in C$;
(A3) For each $y \in C, x \mapsto F(x, y)$ is weakly upper semicontinuous;
(A4) For each $x \in C, y \mapsto F(x, y)$ is convex;
(A5) For each $x \in C, y \mapsto F(x, y)$ is lower semicontinuous;
(B1) For each $x \in H$ and $r>0$, there exist a bounded subset $D_{x} \subset C$ and $y_{x} \in C$ such that, for any $z \in C \backslash D_{x}$

$$
F\left(z, y_{x}\right)+\varphi\left(y_{x}\right)-\varphi(z)+\frac{1}{r}\left\langle y_{x}-z, z-x\right\rangle<0
$$

(B2) $C$ is a bounded set.

In this research, motivated and inspired by the above facts, we introduce a new iterative scheme for finding a common element of the set of fixed points of three nonexpansive mappings, and the set of solutions of a mixed equilibrium problem in a real Hilbert space. Strong convergence results are derived under suitable conditions in a real Hilbert space.

According to our framework throughout this research, we first preview some definitions involving a Banach space $E$ as follows. Let $U=\{x \in E:\|x\|=1\}$.

- $E$ is said to be uniformly convex if, for any $\epsilon \in(0,2]$, there exists $\delta>0$ such that, for any $x, y \in U,\|x-y\| \geq \epsilon$ implies $\left\|\frac{x+y}{2}\right\| \leq 1-\delta$.

It is known that a uniformly convex Banach space is reflexive and strictly convex.

- $E$ is said to be smooth if $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|x+t y\|-\|x\|}{t}$ exists for all $x, y \in U$.

It is also said to be uniformly smooth if the limit is attained uniformly for all $x, y \in U$. The modulus of smoothness of $E$ is defined by

$$
\rho(\tau)=\sup \left\{\frac{1}{2}(\|x+y\|+\|x-y\|)-1: x, y \in E,\|x\|=1,\|y\|=\tau\right\}
$$

where $\rho:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is a function.
It is known that $E$ is uniformly smooth if and only if $\lim _{\tau \rightarrow 0} \frac{\rho(\tau)}{\tau}=0$.

- $E$ is said to be $q$-uniformly smooth if there exists a constant $c>0$ such that $\rho(\tau) \leq c \tau^{q}$ for all $\tau>0$ where $q$ is a fixed real number with $1<q \leq 2$.

Let $E$ be a real Banach space and $E^{*}$ be the dual space of $E$ with norm $\|\cdot\|$ and $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ pairing between $E$ and $E^{*}$. For $q>1$, the generalized duality mapping $J_{q}: E \rightarrow 2^{E^{*}}$ is defined by

$$
J_{q}(x)=\left\{f \in E^{*}:\langle x, f\rangle=\|x\|^{q},\|f\|=\|x\|^{q-1}\right\}
$$

for all $x \in E$. In particular, if $q=2$, the mapping $J_{2}$ is called the normalized duality mapping and written by $J_{2}=J$ as usual. Further, we have the following properties of the generalized duality mapping $J_{q}$ :
(i) $J_{q}(x)=\|x\|^{q-2} J_{2}(x)$ for all $x \in E$ with $x \neq 0$;
(ii) $J_{q}(t x)=t^{q-1} J_{q}(x)$ for all $x \in E$ and $t \in[0, \infty)$;
(iii) $J_{q}(-x)=-J_{q}(x)$ for all $x \in E$.

Certainly, if $E$ is smooth, then $J_{q}$ is single-valued and can be written by $j_{q}$ (see also $[10,53]$ ).

Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Banach space $E$. Recall that a mapping $A: C \rightarrow C$ is said to be
(i) Lipschitzian with Lipschitz constant $L>0$ if $\|A x-A y\| \leq L\|x-y\|, \forall x, y \in C$;
(ii) nonexpansive if $\|A x-A y\| \leq\|x-y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in C$.

An operator $A: C \rightarrow E$ is said to be
(i) accretive if there exists $j_{q}(x-y) \in J_{q}(x-y)$ such that

$$
\left\langle A x-A y, j_{q}(x-y)\right\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall x, y \in C
$$

(ii) $\beta$-strongly accretive if for any $\beta>0$ there exists $j_{q}(x-y) \in J_{q}(x-y)$ such that

$$
\left\langle A x-A y, j_{q}(x-y)\right\rangle \geq \beta\|x-y\|^{q}, \quad \forall x, y \in C
$$

(iii) $\beta$-inverse strongly accretive if, for any $\beta>0$ there exists $j_{q}(x-y) \in J_{q}(x-y)$,

$$
\left\langle A x-A y, j_{q}(x-y)\right\rangle \geq \beta\|A x-A y\|^{q}, \quad \forall x, y \in C
$$

Let $D$ be a subset of $C$ and $Q: C \rightarrow D$. Then $Q$ is said to be sunny if $Q(Q x+t(x-Q x))=Q x$, whenever $Q x+t(x-Q x) \in C$ for $x \in C$ and $t \geq 0$. A subset $D$ of $C$ is said to be a sunny nonexpansive retract of $C$ if there exists a sunny nonexpansive retraction $Q$ of $C$ onto $D$ (see [51, 12, 29]). A mapping $Q: C \rightarrow C$ is called a retraction if $Q^{2}=Q$. If a mapping $Q: C \rightarrow C$ is a retraction, then $Q z=z$ for all $z$ are in the range of $Q$.

A family $\mathcal{S}=\{S(s): 0 \leq s<\infty\}$ of mappings of $C$ into itself is called a nonexpansive semigroup on $C$ if it satisfies the following conditions:
(i) $S(0) x=x$ for all $x \in C$;
(ii) $S(s+t)=S(s) S(t)$ for all $s, t \geq 0$;
(iii) $\|S(s) x-S(s) y\| \leq\|x-y\|$ for all $x, y \in C$ and $s \geq 0$;
(iv) for each $x \in C$, the mapping $S(\cdot) x$ from $[0, \infty)$ into $C$ is continuous.

Let $F(\mathcal{S})$ stands for the common fixed point set of the semigroup $\mathcal{S}$, i.e., $F(\mathcal{S})=$ $\{x \in C: S(s) x=x, \forall s>0\}$. It is easy to see that $F(\mathcal{S})$ is closed and convex (see also $[30,31,61,15])$.

In 1969, Takahashi [58] proved the first fixed point theorem for a noncommutative semigroup of nonexpansive mappings which generalizes De Marr's [44] fixed point theorem. For works related to semigroups of nonexpansive, asymptotically nonexpansive, and asymptotically nonexpansive type related to reversibility of a semigroup, we refer the reader to $[18,23,37,32,33,34,35,36,59,1,16,20]$. In 2007, Lau et al. [35] introduced the following Mann's explicit iteration process;

$$
x_{n+1}=\alpha_{n} x+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) T\left(\mu_{n}\right) x_{n}, \quad \forall n \geq 1
$$

for a semigroup $\mathcal{S}=\{T(s): s \in S\}$ of nonexpansive mappings on a compact convex subset $C$ of a smooth and strictly convex Banach space. In 2012, Wangkeeree and Preechasilp [62] introduced the iterative scheme:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{1} \in C \\
z_{n}=\gamma_{n} x_{n}+\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right) T\left(t_{n}\right) x_{n} \\
y_{n}=\alpha_{n} x_{n}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) T\left(t_{n}\right) z_{n} \\
x_{n+1}=\beta_{n} f\left(x_{n}\right)+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) y_{n}, n \geq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

They proved the strong convergence theorems by using a nonexpansive semigroup in Banach spaces.

In 2006, Aoyama et al. [3] proved a weak convergence theorem in Banach spaces by using the iterative algorithm as the following

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{1}=x \in C \\
x_{n+1}=\alpha_{n} x_{n}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) Q_{C}\left(x_{n}-\lambda_{n} A x_{n}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

for all $n \geq 1$. They solved the generalized variational inequality problem for finding a point $x \in C$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle A x, J(y-x)\rangle \geq 0 \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $y \in C$. The solution set of (2.20) is denoted by $V I(C, A)$. Variational inequality has become a rich of inspiration in pure and applied mathematics. Recently, classical variational inequality problems have been extended and generalized to study a large variety of problems arising in structural analysis, economics, optimization, operations research and engineering sciences and have witnessed an explosive growth in theoretical advances, algorithmic development, etc; see e.g. $[8,9,21]$.

In 2013, Song and Ceng [55] proved a strong convergence theorem in a
q-uniformly smooth Banach space as the following:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{1} \in C  \tag{2.21}\\
z_{n}=Q_{C}\left(x_{n}-\sigma B x_{n}\right) \\
k_{n}=Q_{C}\left(z_{n}-\lambda A z_{n}\right) \\
y_{n}=\beta_{n} k_{n}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) x_{n} \\
x_{n+1}=Q_{C}\left[\alpha_{n} \gamma f x_{n}+\gamma_{n} x_{n}+\left(\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right) I-\alpha_{n} \mu V\right) T_{n} y_{n}\right], n \geq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

They introduced a general iterative algorithm for finding a common element of the set of common fixed points of an infinite family of nonexpansive mappings and the solution set of systems of variational inequalities.

Motivated and inspired by Wangkeeree and Preechasilp [62] and Song and Ceng [55]. In this paper, we introduce a new iterative scheme for finding common solutions of a variational inequality for an inverse-strongly accretive mapping and the solutions of a fixed point problem for a nonexpansive semigroup by using the modified Mann iterative method. We shall prove the strong convergence theorem in a $q$-uniformly smooth Banach spaces under some parameters controlling conditions. Our results extend and improve the recent results of Aoyama et al. [3], Wangkeeree and Preechasilp [62], Song and Ceng [55] and other authors.

## CHAPTER IV

## MAIN RESULTS

## Strong convergence theorem

Theorem 3.80. Let $H$ be a real Hilbert space, $T, S, K: H \longrightarrow H$ a nonexpansive mapping satisfy the condition ( $A^{\prime \prime}$ ) with $\Omega:=F(T) \cap F(S) \cap F(K) \cap M E P(F, \varphi) \neq \emptyset$. Let $f: H \longrightarrow H$ an $\eta_{f}$-strongly monotone and $k_{f}$-Lipschitzian mapping, $g: H \longrightarrow$ $H$ an $\eta_{g}$-strongly monotone and $k_{g}$-Lipschitzian mapping, $h: H \longrightarrow H$ an $\eta_{h^{-}}$ strongly monotone and $k_{h}$-Lipschitzian mapping. For any $x_{0} \in H,\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is defined by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
z_{n}=c_{n} x_{n}+\left(1-c_{n}\right) K_{h}^{\alpha_{n}} T_{r_{n}} x_{n}  \tag{3.22}\\
y_{n}=b_{n} x_{n}+\left(1-b_{n}\right) S_{g}^{\beta_{n}} z_{n} \\
x_{n+1}=a_{n} x_{n}+\left(1-a_{n}\right) T_{f}^{\lambda_{n+1}} y_{n}, \quad \forall n \geq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{f}^{\lambda_{n+1}} x & =T x-\lambda_{n+1} \mu_{f} f(T x), \quad \forall x \in H \\
S_{g}^{\beta_{n}} x & =S x-\beta_{n} \mu_{g} g(S x), \quad \forall x \in H  \tag{3.23}\\
K_{h}^{\alpha_{n}} x & =K x-\alpha_{n} \mu_{h} h(K x), \quad \forall x \in H
\end{align*}
$$

and $\left\{a_{n}\right\} \subset(0,1),\left\{b_{n}\right\} \subset(0,1),\left\{c_{n}\right\} \subset(0,1)$ and $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\} \subset[0,1),\left\{\beta_{n}\right\} \subset[0,1)$, $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\} \subset[0,1),\left\{r_{n}\right\} \subset(0, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions:
(i) $\alpha \leq a_{n} \leq \beta, \alpha \leq b_{n} \leq \beta, \alpha \leq c_{n} \leq \beta$ for some $\alpha, \beta \in(0,1)$,
(ii) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n}<\infty, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_{n}<\infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n}<\infty$,
(iii) $0<\mu_{f}<2 \eta_{f} / k_{f}^{2}, 0<\mu_{g}<2 \eta_{g} / k_{g}^{2}$ and $0<\mu_{h}<2 \eta_{h} / k_{h}^{2}$,
(iv) $\liminf { }_{n \longrightarrow \infty} r_{n}>0$.

Then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to a point $x^{*} \in \Omega$.

Proof. We shall show that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded.
Take $p \in$ and let $u_{n}=T_{r_{n}} x_{n}$. So, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{n}-p\right\|=\left\|T_{r_{n}} x_{n}-T_{r_{n}} p\right\| \leq\left\|x_{n}-p\right\| . \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Lemma 2.61, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|S_{g}^{\beta_{n}} z_{n}-p\right\| & =\left\|S_{g}^{\beta_{n}} z_{n}-S_{g}^{\beta_{n}} p+S_{g}^{\beta_{n}} p-p\right\| \\
& \leq\left(1-\beta_{n} \tau_{g}\right)\left\|z_{n}-p\right\|+\beta_{n} \mu_{g}\|g(p)\|  \tag{3.25}\\
\left\|K_{h}^{\alpha_{n}} u_{n}-p\right\| & =\left\|K_{h}^{\alpha_{n}} u_{n}-K_{h}^{\alpha_{n}} p+K_{h}^{\alpha_{n}} p-p\right\| \\
& \leq\left(1-\alpha_{n} \tau_{h}\right)\left\|u_{n}-p\right\|+\alpha_{n} \mu_{h}\|h(p)\| \tag{3.26}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|T_{f}^{\lambda_{n+1}} y_{n}-p\right\| & =\left\|T_{f}^{\lambda_{n+1}} y_{n}-T_{f}^{\lambda_{n+1}} p+T_{f}^{\lambda_{n+1}} p-p\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|T_{f}^{\lambda_{n+1}} y_{n}-T_{f}^{\lambda_{n+1}} p\right\|+\left\|T_{f}^{\lambda_{n+1}} p-p\right\| \\
& \leq\left(1-\lambda_{n+1} \tau_{f}\right)\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|+\lambda_{n+1} \mu_{f}\|f(p)\| \tag{3.27}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\tau_{g}=1-\sqrt{1-\mu_{g}\left(2 \eta_{g}-\mu_{g} k_{g}^{2}\right)}, \quad \tau_{f}=1-\sqrt{1-\mu_{f}\left(2 \eta_{f}-\mu_{f} k_{f}^{2}\right)}, \quad \tau_{h}=1-\sqrt{1-\mu_{h}\left(2 \eta_{h}-\mu_{h} k_{h}^{2}\right)}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|K_{h}^{\alpha_{n}} u_{n}-p\right\|^{2} & \leq\left(1-\alpha_{n} \tau_{h}\right)^{2}\left\|u_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+2\left(1-\alpha_{n} \tau_{h}\right) \alpha_{n} \mu_{h}\|h(p)\|\left\|u_{n}-p\right\|+\alpha_{n}^{2} \mu_{h}^{2}\|h(p)\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left\|u_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+2 \alpha_{n} \mu_{h}\|h(p)\|\left\|u_{n}-p\right\|+\alpha_{n}^{2} \mu_{h}^{2}\|h(p)\|^{2}  \tag{3.28}\\
\left\|T_{f}^{\lambda_{n+1}} y_{n}-p\right\|^{2} & \leq\left(1-\lambda_{n+1} \tau_{f}\right)^{2}\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+2 \lambda_{n+1} \mu_{f}\|f(p)\|\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|+\lambda_{n+1}^{2} \mu_{f}^{2}\|f(p)\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+2 \lambda_{n+1} \mu_{f}\|f(p)\|\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|+\lambda_{n+1}^{2} \mu_{f}^{2}\|f(p)\|^{2} . \tag{3.29}
\end{align*}
$$

By (3.24) and (3.26), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|z_{n}-p\right\|= & \left\|c_{n}\left(x_{n}-p\right)+\left(1-c_{n}\right)\left(K_{h}^{\alpha_{n}} u_{n}-p\right)\right\| \\
\leq & c_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\left(1-c_{n}\right)\left(1-\alpha_{n} \tau_{h}\right)\left\|u_{n}-p\right\| \\
& +\left(1-c_{n}\right) \alpha_{n} \mu_{h}\|h(p)\| \\
\leq & c_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\left(1-c_{n}\right)\left(1-\alpha_{n} \tau_{h}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\| \\
& +\left(1-c_{n}\right) \alpha_{n} \mu_{h}\|h(p)\| \\
\leq & {\left[c_{n}+\left(1-c_{n}\right)\left(1-\alpha_{n} \tau_{h}\right)\right]\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\left(1-c_{n}\right) \alpha_{n} \mu_{h}\|h(p)\| . } \tag{3.30}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|z_{n}-p\right\|^{2}= & \left\|c_{n}\left(x_{n}-p\right)+\left(1-c_{n}\right)\left(K_{h}^{\alpha_{n}} u_{n}-p\right)\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & c_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left(1-c_{n}\right)\left\|K_{h}^{\alpha_{n}} u_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & c_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left(1-c_{n}\right)\left[\left\|u_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+2 \alpha_{n} \mu_{h}\|h(p)\|\left\|u_{n}-p\right\|\right. \\
& \left.+\alpha_{n}^{2} \mu_{h}^{2}\|h(p)\|^{2}\right] \\
\leq & c_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left(1-c_{n}\right)\left\|u_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+2 \alpha_{n} \mu_{h}\|h(p)\|\left\|u_{n}-p\right\| \\
& +\alpha_{n}^{2} \mu_{h}^{2}\|h(p)\|^{2} \tag{3.31}
\end{align*}
$$

From (3.25) and (3.30), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|= & \left\|b_{n}\left(x_{n}-p\right)+\left(1-b_{n}\right)\left(S_{g}^{\beta_{n}} z_{n}-p\right)\right\| \\
\leq & b_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\left(1-b_{n}\right)\left(1-\beta_{n} \tau_{g}\right)\left\|z_{n}-p\right\|+\left(1-b_{n}\right) \beta_{n} \mu_{g}\|g(p)\| \\
\leq & b_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\left(1-b_{n}\right)\left(1-\beta_{n} \tau_{g}\right)\left[\left(c_{n}+\left(1-c_{n}\right)\left(1-\alpha_{n} \tau_{h}\right)\right)\left\|u_{n}-p\right\|\right. \\
& \left.+\left(1-c_{n}\right) \alpha_{n} \mu_{h}\|h(p)\|\right]+\left(1-b_{n}\right) \beta_{n} \mu_{g}\|g(p)\| \\
\leq & b_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\left(1-b_{n}\right)\left(1-\beta_{n} \tau_{g}\right)\left[\left(c_{n}+\left(1-c_{n}\right)\left(1-\alpha_{n} \tau_{h}\right)\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|\right. \\
& \left.+\left(1-c_{n}\right) \alpha_{n} \mu_{h}\|h(p)\|\right]+\left(1-b_{n}\right) \beta_{n} \mu_{g}\|g(p)\| \\
\leq & {\left[b_{n}+\left(1-b_{n}\right)\left(1-\beta_{n} \tau_{g}\right) c_{n}+\left(1-b_{n}\right)\left(1-\beta_{n} \tau_{g}\right)\left(1-c_{n}\right)\right]\left\|x_{n}-p\right\| } \\
& +\left(1-b_{n}\right)\left(1-c_{n}\right) \alpha_{n} \mu_{h}\|h(p)\|+\left(1-b_{n}\right) \beta_{n} \mu_{g}\|g(p)\| \\
\leq & \left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\alpha_{n} \mu_{h}\|h(p)\|+\beta_{n} \mu_{g}\|g(p)\| . \tag{3.32}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|^{2}= & \| b_{n}\left(x_{n}-p\right)+\left(1-b_{n}\right)\left(S_{g}^{\beta_{n}} z_{n}-p \|^{2}\right. \\
\leq & \left.b_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left(1-b_{n}\right) \| S_{g}^{\beta_{n}} z_{n}-p\right) \|^{2} \\
\leq & b_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left(1-b_{n}\right)\left[\left\|z_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+2 \beta_{n} \mu_{g}\|g(p)\|\left\|z_{n}-p\right\|\right. \\
& \left.+\beta_{n}^{2} \mu_{g}^{2}\|g(p)\|^{2}\right] \\
\leq & b_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left(1-b_{n}\right)\left\|z_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+2 \beta_{n} \mu_{g}\|g(p)\|\left\|z_{n}-p\right\| \\
& +\beta_{n}^{2} \mu_{g}^{2}\|g(p)\|^{2} \tag{3.33}
\end{align*}
$$

Substitute (3.30) into (3.25) to get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|S_{g}^{\beta_{n}} z_{n}-p\right\| \leq & \left(1-\beta_{n} \tau_{g}\right)\left[\left[c_{n}+\left(1-c_{n}\right)\left(1-\alpha_{n} \tau_{h}\right)\right]\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\left(1-c_{n}\right) \alpha_{n} \mu_{g}\|h(p)\|\right] \\
& +\beta_{n} \mu_{g}\|g(p)\| \\
\leq & \left(1-\beta_{n} \tau_{g}\right)\left[c_{n}+\left(1-c_{n}\right)\left(1-\alpha_{n} \tau_{h}\right)\right]\left\|x_{n}-p\right\| \\
& +\left(1-\beta_{n} \tau_{g}\right)\left(1-c_{n}\right) \alpha_{n} \mu_{g}\|h(p)\|+\left(1-\beta_{n} \tau_{g}\right) \beta_{n} \mu_{g}\|g(p)\| \\
\leq & \left(1-\beta_{n} \tau_{g}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\alpha_{n} \mu_{g}\|h(p)\|+\beta_{n} \mu_{g}\|g(p)\| \tag{3.34}
\end{align*}
$$

By (3.27), (3.30) and (3.32), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|= & \left\|a_{n}\left(x_{n}-p\right)+\left(1-a_{n}\right)\left(T_{f}^{\lambda_{n+1}} y_{n}-p\right)\right\| \\
\leq & a_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\left(1-a_{n}\right)\left\|T_{f}^{\lambda_{n+1}} y_{n}-p\right\| \\
\leq & a_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\left(1-a_{n}\right)\left(1-\lambda_{n+1} \tau_{f}\right)\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|+\left(1-a_{n}\right) \lambda_{n+1} \mu_{f}\|f(p)\| \\
\leq & a_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\left(1-a_{n}\right)\left(1-\lambda_{n+1} \tau_{f}\right)\left[\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\alpha_{n} \mu_{h}\|h(p)\|\right. \\
& \left.+\beta_{n} \mu_{g}\|g(p)\|\right]+\left(1-a_{n}\right) \lambda_{n+1} \mu_{f}\|f(p)\| \\
\leq & a_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\left(1-a_{n}\right)\left(1-\lambda_{n+1} \tau_{f}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\| \\
& +\left(1-a_{n}\right)\left(1-\lambda_{n+1} \tau_{f}\right) \alpha_{n} \mu_{h}\|h(p)\| \\
& +\left(1-a_{n}\right)\left(1-\lambda_{n+1} \tau_{f}\right) \beta_{n} \mu_{g}\|g(p)\| \\
& +\left(1-a_{n}\right) \lambda_{n+1} \mu_{f}\|f(p)\| \\
\leq & {\left[a_{n}+\left(1-a_{n}\right)\left(1-\lambda_{n+1} \tau_{f}\right)\right]\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\left(1-a_{n}\right) \alpha_{n} \mu_{h}\|h(p)\| } \\
& +\left(1-a_{n}\right) \beta_{n} \mu_{g}\|g(p)\|+\left(1-a_{n}\right) \lambda_{n+1} \mu_{f} \| f(p) \tag{3.35}
\end{align*}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\| \leq\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\alpha_{n} \mu_{h}\|h(p)\|+\beta_{n} \mu_{g}\|g(p)\|+\lambda_{n+1} \mu_{f}\|f(p)\| . \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Lemma 2.62 and the conditions: $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n}<\infty, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_{n}<\infty, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n}<$ $\infty$, it follows that $\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|$ exists for each $p \in \Gamma$ and $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded.

Suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|=c \quad \text { for some } \quad c \geq 0 \tag{3.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.32), we know that

$$
\left\|y_{n}-p\right\| \leq\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\alpha_{n} \mu_{h}\|h(p)\|+\beta_{n} \mu_{g}\|g(p)\| .
$$

Taking limsup on both the sides in above inequality, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|y_{n}-p\right\| \leq c \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, by (3.27), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left\|T_{f}^{\lambda_{n+1}} y_{n}-p\right\| \leq c \tag{3.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\lim _{n} \longrightarrow \infty\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|=c$, it follows that

$$
\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|=\left\|a_{n}\left(x_{n}-p\right)+\left(1-a_{n}\right)\left(T_{f}^{\lambda_{n+1}} y_{n}-p\right)\right\| \longrightarrow c
$$

as $n \longrightarrow \infty$. Thus by Lemma 2.63, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-T_{f}^{\lambda_{n+1}} y_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{3.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, from (3.27), we consider

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|x_{n}-p\right\| & \leq\left\|x_{n}-T_{f}^{\lambda_{n+1}} y_{n}\right\|+\left\|T_{f}^{\lambda_{n+1}} y_{n}-p\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|x_{n}-T_{f}^{\lambda_{n+1}} y_{n}\right\|+\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|+\lambda_{n+1} \mu_{f}\|f(p)\| \tag{3.41}
\end{align*}
$$

which implies that

$$
c \leq \liminf _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left\|y_{n}-p\right\| \leq \limsup _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left\|y_{n}-p\right\| \leq c
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|=\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left\|b_{n}\left(x_{n}-p\right)+\left(1-b_{n}\right)\left(S_{g}^{\beta_{n}} z_{n}-p\right)\right\|=c . \tag{3.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.34), we know that

$$
\left\|S_{g}^{\beta_{n}} z_{n}-p\right\| \leq\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\alpha_{n} \mu_{h}\|h(p)\|+\beta_{n} \mu_{g}\|g(p)\|
$$

which means

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|S_{g}^{\beta_{n}} z_{n}-p\right\| \leq c \tag{3.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 2.63, (3.42) and (3.43), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left\|S_{g}^{\beta_{n}} z_{n}-x_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{3.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, by (3.30), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|z_{n}-p\right\| & =\left\|c_{n}\left(x_{n}-p\right)+\left(1-c_{n}\right)\left(K_{h}^{\alpha_{n}} u_{n}-p\right)\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\left(1-c_{n}\right) \alpha_{n} \mu_{h}\|h(p)\| . \tag{3.45}
\end{align*}
$$

Taking limsup on both the sides in above inequality, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|z_{n}-p\right\| \leq c \tag{3.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, from (3.25) and (3.44), we consider

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|x_{n}-p\right\| & \leq\left\|x_{n}-S_{g}^{\beta_{n}} z_{n}\right\|+\left\|S_{g}^{\beta_{n}} z_{n}-p\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|x_{n}-S_{g}^{\beta_{n}} z_{n}\right\|+\left\|z_{n}-p\right\|+\beta_{n} \mu_{g}\|g(p)\| \tag{3.47}
\end{align*}
$$

which implies that

$$
c \leq \liminf _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left\|z_{n}-p\right\| \leq \limsup _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left\|z_{n}-p\right\| \leq c
$$

i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left\|z_{n}-p\right\|=\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left\|c_{n}\left(x_{n}-p\right)+\left(1-c_{n}\right)\left(K_{h}^{\alpha_{n}} u_{n}-p\right)\right\|=c \tag{3.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.26), we know that

$$
\left\|K_{h}^{\alpha_{n}} u_{n}-p\right\| \leq\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\alpha_{n} \mu_{h}\|h(p)\|
$$

which means

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left\|K_{h}^{\alpha_{n}} u_{n}-p\right\| \leq c . \tag{3.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 2.63, (3.48) and (3.49), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left\|K_{h}^{\alpha_{n}} u_{n}-x_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{3.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

We know that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded and $\left\{h\left(K\left(x_{n}\right)\right)\right\}$ is bounded, thus form (3.50) it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|x_{n}-K u_{n}\right\| & \leq\left\|x_{n}-K_{h}^{\alpha_{n}} u_{n}\right\|+\left\|K_{h}^{\alpha_{n}} u_{n}-K u_{n}\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|x_{n}-K_{h}^{\alpha_{n}} u_{n}\right\|+\alpha_{n} \mu_{h}\left\|h\left(K\left(u_{n}\right)\right)\right\| \longrightarrow 0 \tag{3.51}
\end{align*}
$$

From (3.50), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|z_{n}-x_{n}\right\|=\left(1-c_{n}\right)\left\|K_{h}^{\alpha_{n}} u_{n}-x_{n}\right\| \longrightarrow 0 . \tag{3.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left\{g\left(S z_{n}\right)\right\}$ is bounded, by (3.44) and (3.52), it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|x_{n}-S x_{n}\right\| & \leq\left\|x_{n}-S_{g}^{\beta_{n}} z_{n}\right\|+\left\|S_{g}^{\beta_{n}} z_{n}-S x_{n}\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|x_{n}-S_{g}^{\beta_{n}} z_{n}\right\|+\left\|S z_{n}-S x_{n}\right\|+\beta_{n} \mu_{g}\left\|g\left(S\left(z_{n}\right)\right)\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|x_{n}-S_{g}^{\beta_{n}} z_{n}\right\|+\left\|z_{n}-x_{n}\right\|+\beta_{n} \mu_{g}\left\|g\left(S\left(z_{n}\right)\right)\right\| \longrightarrow 0 \tag{3.53}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, in the light of Lemma 2.65 (iii) $T_{r_{n}}$ is firmly nonexpansive, so we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|u_{n}-p\right\|^{2} & =\left\|J_{r_{n}} x_{n}-J_{r_{n}} p\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left\langle J_{r_{n}} x_{n}-J_{r_{n}} p, x_{n}-p\right\rangle=\left\langle u_{n}-p, x_{n}-p\right\rangle \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(\left\|u_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\left\|x_{n}-u_{n}\right\|^{2}\right) \tag{3.54}
\end{align*}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\left\|x_{n}-u_{n}\right\|^{2} . \tag{3.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Form (3.29), (3.31), (3.33) and (3.55), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|^{2} \leq a_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left(1-a_{n}\right)\left\|T_{f}^{\lambda_{n+1}} y_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq a_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left(1-a_{n}\right)\left[\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+2 \lambda_{n+1} \mu_{f}\|f(p)\|\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|\right. \\
& \left.+\lambda_{n+1}^{2} \mu_{f}^{2}\|f(p)\|^{2}\right] \\
& \leq a_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left(1-a_{n}\right)\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+2 \lambda_{n+1} \mu_{f}\|f(p)\|\left\|y_{n}-p\right\| \\
& +\lambda_{n+1}^{2} \mu_{f}^{2}\|f(p)\|^{2} \\
& \leq a_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left(1-a_{n}\right)\left[b_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left(1-b_{n}\right)\left\|z_{n}-p\right\|^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+2 \beta_{n} \mu_{g}\|g(p)\|\left\|z_{n}-p\right\|+\beta_{n}^{2} \mu_{g}^{2}\|g(p)\|^{2}\right] \\
& +2 \lambda_{n+1} \mu_{f}\|f(p)\|\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|+\lambda_{n+1}^{2} \mu_{f}^{2}\|f(p)\|^{2} \\
& \leq a_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left(1-a_{n}\right) b_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left(1-b_{n}\right)\left\|z_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \\
& +2 \beta_{n} \mu_{g}\|g(p)\|\left\|z_{n}-p\right\|+\beta_{n}^{2} \mu_{g}^{2}\|g(p)\|^{2} \\
& +2 \lambda_{n+1} \mu_{f}\|f(p)\|\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|+\lambda_{n+1}^{2} \mu_{f}^{2}\|f(p)\|^{2} \\
& \leq a_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left(1-a_{n}\right) b_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \\
& +\left(1-a_{n}\right)\left(1-b_{n}\right)\left[c_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left(1-c_{n}\right)\left\|u_{n}-p\right\|^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+2 \alpha_{n} \mu_{h}\|h(p)\|\left\|u_{n}-p\right\|+\alpha_{n}^{2} \mu_{h}^{2}\|h(p)\|^{2}\right] \\
& +2 \lambda_{n+1} \mu_{f}\|f(p)\|\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|+\lambda_{n+1}^{2} \mu_{f}^{2}\|f(p)\|^{2} \\
& \leq a_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left(1-a_{n}\right) b_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left(1-a_{n}\right)\left(1-b_{n}\right) c_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \\
& +\left(1-a_{n}\right)\left(1-b_{n}\right)\left(1-c_{n}\right)\left\|u_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \\
& +2 \alpha_{n} \mu_{h}\|h(p)\|\left\|u_{n}-p\right\|+\alpha_{n}^{2} \mu_{h}^{2}\|h(p)\|^{2} \\
& +2 \lambda_{n+1} \mu_{f}\|f(p)\|\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|+\lambda_{n+1}^{2} \mu_{f}^{2}\|f(p)\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left[a_{n}+\left(1-a_{n}\right) b_{n}+\left(1-a_{n}\right)\left(1-b_{n}\right) c_{n}\right]\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \\
& +\left(1-a_{n}\right)\left(1-b_{n}\right)\left(1-c_{n}\right)\left[\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\left\|x_{n}-u_{n}\right\|^{2}\right] \\
& +2 \alpha_{n} \mu_{h}\|h(p)\|\left\|u_{n}-p\right\|+\alpha_{n}^{2} \mu_{h}^{2}\|h(p)\|^{2} \\
& +2 \lambda_{n+1} \mu_{f}\|f(p)\|\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|+\lambda_{n+1}^{2} \mu_{f}^{2}\|f(p)\|^{2} . \tag{3.56}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(1-a_{n}\right)\left(1-b_{n}\right)\left(1-c_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-u_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq & \left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|^{2} \\
& +2 \alpha_{n} \mu_{h}\|h(p)\|\left\|u_{n}-p\right\|+\alpha_{n}^{2} \mu_{h}^{2}\|h(p)\|^{2} \\
& +2 \lambda_{n+1} \mu_{f}\|f(p)\|\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|+\lambda_{n+1}^{2} \mu_{f}^{2}\|f(p)\|^{2} \\
\leq & \left\|x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\|\left(\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|\right) \\
& +2 \alpha_{n} \mu_{h}\|h(p)\|\left\|u_{n}-p\right\|+\alpha_{n}^{2} \mu_{h}^{2}\|h(p)\|^{2} \\
& +2 \lambda_{n+1} \mu_{f}\|f(p)\|\left\|y_{n}-p\right\| \\
& +\lambda_{n+1}^{2} \mu_{f}^{2}\|f(p)\|^{2} . \tag{3.57}
\end{align*}
$$

By condition (ii), (3.37) and $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(1-a_{n}\right)\left(1-b_{n}\right)\left(1-c_{n}\right)>0$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-u_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{3.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.51) and (3.58), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|x_{n}-K x_{n}\right\| & \leq\left\|x_{n}-K u_{n}\right\|+\left\|K u_{n}-K x_{n}\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|x_{n}-K u_{n}\right\|+\left\|u_{n}-x_{n}\right\| \longrightarrow 0 \tag{3.59}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, from (3.40) and (3.44), it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|x_{n}-T x_{n}\right\| \leq & \left\|T x_{n}-T_{f}^{\lambda_{n+1}} y_{n}\right\|+\left\|T_{f}^{\lambda_{n+1}} y_{n}-x_{n}\right\| \\
= & \left\|T x_{n}-\left[T y_{n}-\lambda_{n+1} \mu_{f} f\left(T\left(y_{n}\right)\right)\right]\right\|+\left\|T_{f}^{\lambda_{n+1}} y_{n}-x_{n}\right\| \\
\leq & \left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|+\lambda_{n+1} \mu_{f}\left\|f\left(T\left(y_{n}\right)\right)\right\|+\left\|T_{f}^{\lambda_{n+1}} y_{n}-x_{n}\right\| \\
\leq & \left(1-b_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-S_{g}^{\beta_{n}} z_{n}\right\|+\lambda_{n+1} \mu_{f}\left\|f\left(T\left(y_{n}\right)\right)\right\| \\
& +\left\|T_{f}^{\lambda_{n+1}} y_{n}-x_{n}\right\| \longrightarrow 0 . \tag{3.60}
\end{align*}
$$

From (3.37) if $c=0$, there is nothing to prove. Suppose $c>0$. By (3.60), we know that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-T x_{n}\right\|=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-K x_{n}\right\|=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-S x_{n}\right\|=0$. Since $T, S, K$ satisfy the condition $\left(A^{\prime \prime}\right)$, then $f\left(d\left(x_{n}, \Omega\right)\right) \leq(1 / 3)\left(\left\|x_{n}-T x_{n}\right\|+\| x_{n}-\right.$ $\left.S x_{n}\|+\| x_{n}-K x_{n} \|\right)$. By (3.51), (3.53) and (3.60), we have $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f\left(d\left(x_{n}, \Omega\right)\right)=0$. Since $f$ is a nondecreasing function and $f(0)=0$, therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{n \longrightarrow \infty} d\left(x_{n}, \Omega\right)=0 . \tag{3.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $p \in F$, we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
\|f(p)\| \leq\left\|f(p)-f\left(x_{n}\right)\right\|+\left\|f\left(x_{n}\right)\right\| \leq k_{f}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\left\|f\left(x_{n}\right)\right\|  \tag{3.62}\\
\|g(p)\| \leq\left\|g(p)-g\left(x_{n}\right)\right\|+\left\|g\left(x_{n}\right)\right\| \leq k_{g}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\left\|g\left(x_{n}\right)\right\|  \tag{3.63}\\
\|h(p)\| \leq\left\|h(p)-h\left(x_{n}\right)\right\|+\left\|h\left(x_{n}\right)\right\| \leq k_{h}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\left\|h\left(x_{n}\right)\right\| . \tag{3.64}
\end{gather*}
$$

Note the fact that there exist two positive constants $M_{1}, M_{2}$, such that $\left\|h\left(x_{n}\right)\right\| \leq$ $M_{1},\left\|g\left(x_{n}\right)\right\| \leq M_{2}$ and $\left\|f\left(x_{n}\right)\right\| \leq M_{3}$. From (3.36) and the above relations, it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\| \leq & \left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\alpha_{n} \mu_{h}\|h(p)\|+\beta_{n} \mu_{g}\|g(p)\|+\lambda_{n+1} \mu_{f}\|f(p)\| \\
\leq & \left(1+\alpha_{n} \mu_{h} k_{h}+\beta_{n} \mu_{g} k_{g}+\lambda_{n+1} \mu_{f} k_{f}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\| \\
& +\alpha_{n} \mu_{h}\|h(p)\|+\beta_{n} \mu_{g}\|g(p)\|+\lambda_{n+1} \mu_{f}\|f(p)\| \\
\leq & \left(1+\alpha_{n} \mu_{h} k_{h}+\beta_{n} \mu_{g} k_{g}+\lambda_{n+1} \mu_{f} k_{f}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\| \\
& +\alpha_{n} \mu_{h} M_{1}+\beta_{n} \mu_{g} M_{2}+\lambda_{n+1} \mu_{f} M_{3} \tag{3.65}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus
$d\left(x_{n+1}, \Omega\right) \leq\left(1+\alpha_{n} \mu_{h} k_{h}+\beta_{n} \mu_{g} k_{g}+\lambda_{n+1} \mu_{f} k_{f}\right) d\left(x_{n}, F\right)+\alpha_{n} \mu_{h} M_{1}+\beta_{n} \mu_{g} M_{2}+\lambda_{n+1} \mu_{f} M_{3}$.
Since $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{n}<\infty, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_{n}<\infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n}<\infty$, by (3.61), we know that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(x_{n}, F\right)=0$. We now prove that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

Taking $M=\exp \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\left(\alpha_{i} \mu_{h} k_{h}+\beta_{i} \mu_{g} k_{g}+\lambda_{i+1} \mu_{f} k_{f}\right)\right)$, for any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists positive integer $N$ such that $d\left(x_{n}, \Gamma\right)<\varepsilon /(2 M)$ and $\sum_{i=N}^{\infty}\left(\alpha_{i} \mu_{h} M_{1}+\beta_{i} \mu_{g} M_{2}+\right.$ $\left.\lambda_{i+1} \mu_{f} M_{3}\right)<\varepsilon /(2 M)$ as $n \geq N$. Let $p \in \Gamma$, for any $n, m \geq N$, it follows from
(3.65) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{m+1}\right\| \leq & \left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|+\left\|x_{m+1}-p\right\| \\
\leq & \left(1+\alpha_{n} \mu_{h} k_{h}+\beta_{n} \mu_{g} k_{g}+\lambda_{n+1} \mu_{f} k_{f}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\alpha_{n} \mu_{h} M_{1}+\beta_{n} \mu_{g} M_{2} \\
& +\lambda_{n+1} \mu_{f} M_{3}-\left(1+\alpha_{m} \mu_{h} k_{h}+\beta_{m} \mu_{g} k_{g}+\lambda_{m+1} \mu_{f} k_{f}\right)\left\|x_{m}-p\right\| \\
& +\alpha_{m} \mu_{h} M_{1}+\beta_{m} \mu_{g} M_{2}+\lambda_{m+1} \mu_{f} M_{3} \\
\leq & \prod_{i=1}^{N}\left(1+\alpha_{i} \mu_{h} k_{h}+\beta_{i} \mu_{g} k_{g}+\lambda_{i+1} \mu_{f} k_{f}\right)\left\|x_{N}-p\right\|+\alpha_{n} \mu_{h} M_{1}+\beta_{n} \mu_{g} M_{2} \\
& +\lambda_{n+1} \mu_{f} M_{3}+\sum_{i=N}^{n-1}\left(\alpha_{i} \mu_{h} M_{1}+\beta_{i} \mu_{g} M_{2}+\lambda_{i+1} \mu_{f} M_{3}\right) \times \\
& \prod_{j=i+1}^{n}\left(1+\alpha_{j} \mu_{h} k_{h}+\beta_{j} \mu_{g} k_{g}+\lambda_{j+1} \mu_{f} k_{f}\right) \\
& +\prod_{i=N}^{m}\left(1+\alpha_{i} \mu_{h} k_{h}+\beta_{i} \mu_{g} k_{g}+\lambda_{i+1} \mu_{f} k_{f}\right)\left\|x_{N}-p\right\|+\alpha_{m} \mu_{h} M_{1} \\
& +\beta_{m} \mu_{g} M_{2}+\lambda_{m+1} \mu_{f} M_{3}+\sum_{i=N}^{m-1}\left(\alpha_{i} \mu_{h} M_{1}+\beta_{i} \mu_{g} M_{2}+\lambda_{i+1} \mu_{f} M_{3}\right) \times \\
& \prod_{j=i+1}^{m}\left(1+\alpha_{j} \mu_{h} k_{h}+\beta_{j} \mu_{g} k_{g}+\lambda_{j+1} \mu_{f} k_{f}\right) \\
\leq & 2 \exp \left(\sum_{i=N}^{\infty}\left(\alpha_{i} \mu_{h} k_{h}+\beta_{i} \mu_{g} k_{g}+\lambda_{j+1} \mu_{f} k_{f}\right)\right)\left\|x_{N}-p\right\| \\
& +2 \exp \left(\sum_{i=N}^{\infty}\left(\alpha_{i} \mu_{h} k_{h}+\beta_{i} \mu_{g} k_{g}+\lambda_{j+1} \mu_{f} k_{f}\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Thus

$$
\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{m+1}\right\| \leq 2 M\left\|x_{N}-p\right\|+2 M \sum_{i=N}^{\infty}\left(\alpha_{i} \mu_{h} M_{1}+\beta_{i} \mu_{g} M_{2}+\lambda_{i+1} \mu_{f} M_{3}\right)
$$

which gives

$$
\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{m+1}\right\| \leq 2 M d\left(x_{N}, F\right)+2 M \sum_{i=N}^{\infty}\left(\alpha_{i} \mu_{h} M_{1}+\beta_{i} \mu_{g} M_{2}+\lambda_{i+1} \mu_{f} M_{3}\right)<\varepsilon
$$

This implies that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Therefore, there exists $x^{*} \in H$ such that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $x^{*}$. It follows from $\left\|x_{n}-T x_{n}\right\| \longrightarrow 0$ and $(I-T)$
being continuous that

$$
\left\|(I-T)\left(x_{n}-x^{*}\right)\right\| \longrightarrow 0
$$

as $n \longrightarrow \infty$ which implies $x^{*}=T x^{*}$. Hence $x^{*} \in F(T)$. By the same reasoning, we have $x^{*} \in F(S)$ and $x^{*} \in F(K)$.

Finally, we prove that $w \in \operatorname{MEP}(F, \varphi)$.

By $u_{n}=T_{r_{n}} x_{n}$, we know that

$$
F\left(u_{n}, y\right)+\varphi(y)+\varphi\left(u_{n}\right)+\frac{1}{r_{n}}\left\langle y-u_{n}, u_{n}-x_{n}\right\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C
$$

It follows from (A2) that

$$
\varphi(y)+\varphi\left(u_{n}\right)+\frac{1}{r_{n}}\left\langle y-u_{n}, u_{n}-x_{n}\right\rangle \geq F\left(y, u_{n}\right), \quad \forall y \in C .
$$

Hence,

$$
\varphi(y)+\varphi\left(u_{n_{i}}\right)+\left\langle y-u_{n_{i}}, \frac{u_{n_{i}}-x_{n_{i}}}{r_{n_{i}}}\right\rangle \geq F\left(y, u_{n_{i}}\right), \quad \forall y \in C
$$

It follows from (A4), (A5), and the weakly lower semicontinuity of $\varphi, \frac{u_{n_{i}}-x_{n_{i}}}{r_{n_{i}}} \longrightarrow 0$ and $u_{n_{i}} \rightharpoonup w$ that

$$
F(y, w)+\varphi(w)-\varphi(y) \leq 0, \quad \forall y \in C .
$$

For $0<t \leq 1$ and $y \in C$, let $y_{t}=t y+(1-t) w$. Since $y \in C$ and $w \in C$, we obtain $y_{t} \in C$ and hence $F\left(y_{t}, w\right)+\varphi(w)+\varphi\left(y_{t}\right) \leq 0$. So by (A4) and the convexity of $\varphi$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =F\left(y_{t}, y_{t}\right)+\varphi\left(y_{t}\right)-\varphi\left(y_{t}\right) \\
& \leq t F\left(y_{t}, y\right)+(1-t) F\left(y_{t}, w\right)+t \varphi(y)+(1-t) \varphi(w)-\varphi\left(y_{t}\right) \\
& \leq t\left[F\left(y_{t}, y\right)+\varphi(y)-\varphi\left(y_{t}\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Dividing by $t$, we get

$$
F\left(y_{t}, y\right)+\varphi(y)-\varphi\left(y_{t}\right) \geq 0
$$

Letting $t \longrightarrow 0$, it follows from (A3) and the weakly lower semicontinuity of $\varphi$ that

$$
F(w, y)+\varphi(y)-\varphi(w) \geq 0
$$

for all $y \in C$ and hence $w \in \operatorname{MEP}(F, \varphi)$. It follows that $x^{*} \in \Omega$. The proof is completed

Corollary 3.81. Let $H$ be a real Hilbert space, $T, S, K: H \longrightarrow H$ a nonexpansive mapping satisfy the condition $\left(A^{\prime \prime}\right)$ with $F(T) \cap F(S) \cap F(K) \neq \emptyset$. Let $f: H \longrightarrow H$ an $\eta_{f}$-strongly monotone and $k_{f}$-Lipschitzian mapping, $g: H \longrightarrow H$ an $\eta_{g}$-strongly monotone and $k_{g}$-Lipschitzian mapping, $h: H \longrightarrow H$ an $\eta_{h}$-strongly monotone and $k_{h}$-Lipschitzian mapping. For any $x_{0} \in H,\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is defined by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
z_{n}=c_{n} x_{n}+\left(1-c_{n}\right) K_{h}^{\alpha_{n}} x_{n}  \tag{3.67}\\
y_{n}=b_{n} x_{n}+\left(1-b_{n}\right) S_{g}^{\beta_{n}} z_{n} \\
x_{n+1}=a_{n} x_{n}+\left(1-a_{n}\right) T_{f}^{\lambda_{n+1}} y_{n}, \quad \forall n \geq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{f}^{\lambda_{n+1}} x & =T x-\lambda_{n+1} \mu_{f} f(T x), \quad \forall x \in H \\
S_{g}^{\beta_{n}} x & =S x-\beta_{n} \mu_{g} g(S x), \quad \forall x \in H  \tag{3.68}\\
K_{h}^{\alpha_{n}} x & =K x-\alpha_{n} \mu_{h} h(K x), \quad \forall x \in H
\end{align*}
$$

and $\left\{a_{n}\right\} \subset(0,1),\left\{b_{n}\right\} \subset(0,1),\left\{c_{n}\right\} \subset(0,1)$ and $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\} \subset[0,1),\left\{\beta_{n}\right\} \subset[0,1)$, $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\} \subset[0,1)$ satisfying the following conditions:
(i) $\alpha \leq a_{n} \leq \beta, \alpha \leq b_{n} \leq \beta, \alpha \leq c_{n} \leq \beta$ for some $\alpha, \beta \in(0,1)$,
(ii) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n}<\infty, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_{n}<\infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n}<\infty$,
(iii) $0<\mu_{f}<2 \eta_{f} / k_{f}^{2}, 0<\mu_{g}<2 \eta_{g} / k_{g}^{2}$ and $0<\mu_{h}<2 \alpha_{h} / k_{h}^{2}$.

Then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converge strongly to a point $x^{*} \in F(T) \cap F(S) \cap F(K)$.

Proof. Put $F(x, y)=0$ for all $x, y \in C, \varphi \equiv 0$ and $r_{n}=1$ in Theorem 3.80. Thus, we have $T_{r_{n}} x_{n}=x_{n}$. Then the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ generated in Corallary 3.81 converges strongly to $x^{*} \in F(T) \cap F(S) \cap F(K)$.

Theorem 3.82. Let $C$ be a sunny nonexpansive retract and nonempty closed convex subset of a q-uniformly smooth and uniformly convex Banach space E which admits a weakly sequentially continuous generalized duality mapping $J_{q}: E \rightarrow E^{*}$. Let $Q_{C}$ be a sunny nonexpansive retraction from $E$ onto $C, A: C \rightarrow E$ be an $\beta$-inverse-strongly accretive operator, $\mathcal{S}=\{S(s): s \geq 0\}$ be a nonexpansive semigroup from $C$ into itself, $L_{1}: C \rightarrow E$ be a L-Lipschitzian mapping with constant $L \geq 0$ and $L_{2}: C \rightarrow E$ be a $\kappa$-Lipschitzian and $\eta$-strongly accretive operator with constant $\kappa, \eta>0$. Assume $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\},\left\{\beta_{n}\right\},\left\{\gamma_{n}\right\},\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\} \subset(0,1),\left\{\mu_{n}\right\} \subset(0, \infty)$ such that $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\} \subset[a, b] \subset(0,1), 0<\mu<\left(\frac{q \eta}{c_{q} \kappa^{q}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}}$ where $c_{q}$ is a positive real number, $0<a \leq \lambda_{n} \leq b<\left(\frac{q \beta}{c_{q}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}}, 0 \leq \gamma L<\tau$ where $\tau=\mu\left(\eta-\frac{c_{q} \mu^{q-1} \kappa^{q}}{q}\right)$ and $F:=F(\mathcal{S}) \cap V I(C, A) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be the sequences defined by $x_{1} \in C$ and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
z_{n}=Q_{C}\left(x_{n}-\lambda_{n} A x_{n}\right)  \tag{3.69}\\
y_{n}=Q_{C}\left[\alpha_{n} \gamma L_{1} x_{n}+\gamma_{n} x_{n}+\left(\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right) I-\alpha_{n} \mu L_{2}\right) S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}\right] \\
x_{n+1}=\beta_{n} x_{n}+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) S\left(\mu_{n}\right) y_{n}
\end{array}\right.
$$

which satisfy the following conditions:
(C1) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n}=0, \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{n}=\infty ;$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\alpha_{n+1}-\alpha_{n}\right|=0 ;$
(C2) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\lambda_{n+1}-\lambda_{n}\right|=0, \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{n}>0$;
(C3) $0<\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_{n} \leq \lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_{n}<1$;
(C4) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{n}=0$;
(C5) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{x \in \tilde{C}}\left\|S\left(\mu_{n+1}\right) x-S\left(\mu_{n}\right) x\right\|=0, \tilde{C}$ bounded subset of $C$;
(C6) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\gamma_{n+1}-\gamma_{n}\right|=0,0<\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{n} \leq \limsup \operatorname{sum}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{n}<1$.

Then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $x^{*} \in F$ which also solves the following variational inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\gamma L_{1} x^{*}-\mu L_{2} x^{*}, J_{q}\left(z-x^{*}\right)\right\rangle \leq 0, \forall z \in F \tag{3.70}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. First of all, we prove that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded. Let $p \in F$ and $0<a \leq \lambda_{n} \leq$ $b<\left(\frac{q \beta}{c_{q}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|z_{n}-p\right\|^{q} & =\left\|Q_{C}\left(x_{n}-\lambda_{n} A x_{n}\right)-Q_{C}\left(p-\lambda_{n} A p\right)\right\|^{q} \\
& \leq\left\|\left(I-\lambda_{n} A\right) x_{n}-\left(I-\lambda_{n} A\right) p\right\|^{q} \\
& =\left\|\left(x_{n}-p\right)-\lambda_{n}\left(A x_{n}-A p\right)\right\|^{q} \\
& \leq\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{q}-q \lambda_{n}\left\langle A x_{n}-A p, j_{q}\left(x_{n}-p\right)\right\rangle+c_{q} \lambda_{n}^{q}\left\|A x_{n}-A p\right\|^{q} \\
& \leq\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{q}-q \beta \lambda_{n}\left\|A x_{n}-A p\right\|^{2}+c_{q} \lambda_{n}^{q}\left\|A x_{n}-A p\right\|^{q} \\
& =\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{q}-\lambda_{n}\left(q \beta-c_{q} \lambda_{n}^{q-1}\right)\left\|A x_{n}-A p\right\|^{q} \\
& \leq\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{q} . \tag{3.71}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore $\left\|z_{n}-p\right\| \leq\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|$ and $I-\lambda_{n} A$ is a nonexpansive where $I$ is an identity mapping. By condition ( $C 1$ ), we may assume, without loss of generality, that $\alpha_{n}<\min \left\{\alpha, \frac{\alpha}{\tau}\right\}$ where $0<\alpha<\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right)$. From Lemma 2.76, we conclude that $\left\|\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right) I-\alpha_{n} \mu L_{2}\right\| \leq\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right)-\alpha_{n} \tau$. Since $0 \leq \gamma L<\tau$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|= & \left\|\beta_{n}\left(x_{n}-p\right)+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left(S\left(\mu_{n}\right) y_{n}-p\right)\right\| \\
\leq & \beta_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|y_{n}-p\right\| \\
= & \beta_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \| Q_{C}\left[\alpha_{n} \gamma L_{1} x_{n}+\gamma_{n} x_{n}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right) I-\alpha_{n} \mu L_{2}\right) S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}\right]-p \| \\
\leq & \beta_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \|\left[\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right) I-\alpha_{n} \mu L_{2}\right]\left[S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}-p\right] \\
& +\alpha_{n}\left(\gamma L_{1} x_{n}-\mu L_{2} p\right)+\gamma_{n}\left(x_{n}-p\right) \|
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\leq & \beta_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left(1-\gamma_{n}-\alpha_{n} \tau\right)\left\|S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}-p\right\| \\
& +\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \alpha_{n}\left\|\gamma L_{1} x_{n}-\mu L_{2} p\right\|+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \gamma_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\| \\
\leq & \beta_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left(1-\gamma_{n}-\alpha_{n} \tau\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\| \\
& +\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \alpha_{n} \gamma\left\|L_{1} x_{n}-L_{1} p\right\|+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \alpha_{n}\left\|\gamma L_{1} p-\mu L_{2} p\right\| \\
& +\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \gamma_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\| \\
\leq & \beta_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|-\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \gamma_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\| \\
& -\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \alpha_{n} \tau\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \alpha_{n} \gamma L\left\|x_{n}-p\right\| \\
& +\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \alpha_{n}\left\|\gamma L_{1} p-\mu L_{2} p\right\|+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \gamma_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\| \\
= & \left\|x_{n}-p\right\|-\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \alpha_{n} \tau\left\|x_{n}-p\right\| \\
& +\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \alpha_{n} \gamma L\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \alpha_{n}\left\|\gamma L_{1} p-\mu L_{2} p\right\| \\
= & \left\|x_{n}-p\right\|-\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \alpha_{n}(\tau-\gamma L)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\| \\
& +\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \alpha_{n}(\tau-\gamma L) \frac{\left\|\gamma L_{1} p-\mu L_{2} p\right\|}{\tau-\gamma L} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By induction, we conclude that

$$
\left\|x_{n}-p\right\| \leq \max \left\{\left\|x_{1}-p\right\|, \frac{\left\|\gamma L_{1} p-\mu L_{2} p\right\|}{\tau-\gamma L}\right\}, \forall n \geq 1
$$

This implies that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded, so are $\left\{A x_{n}\right\},\left\{y_{n}\right\},\left\{S\left(\mu_{n}\right) y_{n}\right\},\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}\right\}$.

Next, we will show that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\|=0$ and we observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|z_{n+1}-z_{n}\right\| & =\left\|Q_{C}\left(x_{n+1}-\lambda_{n+1} A x_{n+1}\right)-Q_{C}\left(x_{n}-\lambda_{n} A x_{n}\right)\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|\left(x_{n+1}-\lambda_{n+1} A x_{n+1}\right)-\left(x_{n}-\lambda_{n} A x_{n}\right)\right\| \\
& =\left\|\left(x_{n+1}-\lambda_{n+1} A x_{n+1}\right)-\left(x_{n}-\lambda_{n+1} A x_{n}\right)+\left(\lambda_{n}-\lambda_{n+1}\right) A x_{n}\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|\left(I-\lambda_{n+1} A\right) x_{n+1}-\left(I-\lambda_{n+1} A\right) x_{n}\right\|+\mid \lambda_{n+1}-\lambda_{n}\left\|A x_{n}\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\|+\left|\lambda_{n+1}-\lambda_{n}\right|\left\|A x_{n}\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|S\left(\mu_{n+1}\right) z_{n+1}-S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}\right\| \leq & \left\|S\left(\mu_{n+1}\right) z_{n+1}-S\left(\mu_{n+1}\right) z_{n}\right\| \\
& +\left\|S\left(\mu_{n+1}\right) z_{n}-S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}\right\| \\
\leq & \left\|z_{n+1}-z_{n}\right\|+\left\|S\left(\mu_{n+1}\right) z_{n}-S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}\right\| \\
\leq & \left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\|+\left|\lambda_{n+1}-\lambda_{n}\right|\left\|A x_{n}\right\| \\
& +\sup _{z \in\left\{z_{n}\right\}}\left\|S\left(\mu_{n+1}\right) z-S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z\right\|,
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|y_{n+1}-y_{n}\right\|= & \| Q_{C}\left[\alpha_{n+1} \gamma L_{1} x_{n+1}+\gamma_{n+1} x_{n+1}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\left(1-\gamma_{n+1}\right) I-\alpha_{n+1} \mu L_{2}\right) S\left(\mu_{n+1}\right) z_{n+1}\right] \\
& -Q_{C}\left[\alpha_{n} \gamma L_{1} x_{n}+\gamma_{n} x_{n}+\left(\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right) I-\alpha_{n} \mu L_{2}\right) S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}\right] \| \\
\leq & \|\left[\alpha_{n+1} \gamma L_{1} x_{n+1}+\gamma_{n+1} x_{n+1}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\left(1-\gamma_{n+1}\right) I-\alpha_{n+1} \mu L_{2}\right) S\left(\mu_{n+1}\right) z_{n+1}\right] \\
& -\left[\alpha_{n} \gamma L_{1} x_{n}+\gamma_{n} x_{n}+\left(\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right) I-\alpha_{n} \mu L_{2}\right) S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}\right] \| \\
= & \|\left[\alpha_{n+1} \gamma L_{1} x_{n+1}+\gamma_{n+1} x_{n+1}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\left(1-\gamma_{n+1}\right) I-\alpha_{n+1} \mu L_{2}\right) S\left(\mu_{n+1}\right) z_{n+1}\right] \\
& -\left[\alpha_{n} \gamma L_{1} x_{n}+\gamma_{n} x_{n}+\left(\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right) I-\alpha_{n} \mu L_{2}\right) S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}\right] \\
& +\alpha_{n+1} \gamma L_{1} x_{n}-\alpha_{n+1} \gamma L_{1} x_{n}+\gamma_{n+1} x_{n}-\gamma_{n+1} x_{n} \\
& +\left(\left(1-\gamma_{n+1}\right) I-\alpha_{n+1} \mu L_{2}\right) S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n} \\
& -\left(\left(1-\gamma_{n+1}\right) I-\alpha_{n+1} \mu L_{2}\right) S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n} \| \\
\leq & \alpha_{n+1} \gamma\left\|L_{1} x_{n+1}-L_{1} x_{n}\right\|+\gamma_{n+1}\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\| \\
& +\left\|\left[\left(1-\gamma_{n+1}\right) I-\alpha_{n+1} \mu L_{2}\right]\left[S\left(\mu_{n+1}\right) z_{n+1}-S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}\right]\right\| \\
& +\left|\alpha_{n+1}-\alpha_{n}\right| \gamma\left\|L_{1} x_{n}\right\|+\left|\alpha_{n+1}-\alpha_{n}\right| \mu\left\|L_{2} S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}\right\| \\
& +\left|\gamma_{n+1}-\gamma_{n}\right|\left\|S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}-x_{n}\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq \alpha_{n+1} \gamma L\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\|+\gamma_{n+1}\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\| \\
& +\left[\left(1-\gamma_{n+1}\right) I-\alpha_{n+1} \tau\right]\left\|S\left(\mu_{n+1}\right) z_{n+1}-S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}\right\| \\
& +\left|\alpha_{n+1}-\alpha_{n}\right|\left[\gamma\left\|L_{1} x_{n}\right\|+\mu\left\|L_{2} S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}\right\|\right] \\
& +\left|\gamma_{n+1}-\gamma_{n}\right|| | S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}-x_{n}| | \\
& \leq \alpha_{n+1} \gamma L\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\|+\gamma_{n+1}\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\| \\
& +\left[\left(1-\gamma_{n+1}\right) I-\alpha_{n+1} \tau\right]\left[\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\|+\left|\lambda_{n+1}-\lambda_{n}\right|\left\|A x_{n}\right\|\right. \\
& \left.+\sup _{z \in\left\{z_{n}\right\}}\left\|S\left(\mu_{n+1}\right) z-S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z\right\|\right] \\
& +\left|\alpha_{n+1}-\alpha_{n}\right|\left[\gamma\left\|L_{1} x_{n}\right\|+\mu\left\|L_{2} S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}\right\|\right] \\
& +\left|\gamma_{n+1}-\gamma_{n}\right|| | S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}-x_{n}| | \\
& =\left[1-\alpha_{n+1}(\tau-\gamma L)\right]\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\| \\
& +\left[\left(1-\gamma_{n+1}\right) I-\alpha_{n+1} \tau\right]\left[\left|\lambda_{n+1}-\lambda_{n}\right|\left\|A x_{n}\right\|\right. \\
& \left.+\sup _{z \in\left\{z_{n}\right\}}\left\|S\left(\mu_{n+1}\right) z-S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z\right\|\right] \\
& +\left|\alpha_{n+1}-\alpha_{n}\right|\left[\gamma\left\|L_{1} x_{n}\right\|+\mu\left\|L_{2} S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}\right\|\right] \\
& +\left|\gamma_{n+1}-\gamma_{n}\right|| | S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}-x_{n}| | \\
& \leq\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\|+\left|\lambda_{n+1}-\lambda_{n}\right|\left\|A x_{n}\right\| \\
& +\sup _{\|}\left\|S\left(\mu_{n+1}\right) z-S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z\right\| \\
& z \in\left\{z_{n}\right\} \\
& +\left|\alpha_{n+1}-\alpha_{n}\right|\left[\gamma\left\|L_{1} x_{n}\right\|+\mu\left\|L_{2} S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}\right\|\right] \\
& +\left|\gamma_{n+1}-\gamma_{n}\right|| | S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}-x_{n} \| \\
& \leq\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\|+\left[\left|\alpha_{n+1}-\alpha_{n}\right|+\left|\gamma_{n+1}-\gamma_{n}\right|+\left|\lambda_{n+1}-\lambda_{n}\right|\right] M \\
& +\sup _{z \in\left\{z_{n}\right\}}\left\|S\left(\mu_{n+1}\right) z-S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z\right\|,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $M=\sup _{n \geq 0}\left\{\left\|A x_{n}\right\|, \gamma\left\|L_{1} x_{n}\right\|+\mu\left\|L_{2} S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}\right\|,\left\|S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}-x_{n}\right\|\right\}<\infty$. It
follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|S\left(\mu_{n+1}\right) y_{n+1}-S\left(\mu_{n}\right) y_{n}\right\| \leq & \left\|S\left(\mu_{n+1}\right) y_{n+1}-S\left(\mu_{n+1}\right) y_{n}\right\|+\left\|S\left(\mu_{n+1}\right) y_{n}-S\left(\mu_{n}\right) y_{n}\right\| \\
\leq & \left\|y_{n+1}-y_{n}\right\|+\left\|S\left(\mu_{n+1}\right) y_{n}-S\left(\mu_{n}\right) y_{n}\right\| \\
\leq & \left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\|+\left[\left|\alpha_{n+1}-\alpha_{n}\right|+\left|\gamma_{n+1}-\gamma_{n}\right|+\left|\lambda_{n+1}-\lambda_{n}\right|\right] M \\
& +\sup _{z \in\left\{z_{n}\right\}}\left\|S\left(\mu_{n+1}\right) z-S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z\right\| \\
& +\sup _{y \in\left\{y_{n}\right\}}\left\|S\left(\mu_{n+1}\right) y-S\left(\mu_{n}\right) y\right\| \tag{3.72}
\end{align*}
$$

Form the condition (C1), (C2), (C5)-(C6) and 3.72, we have

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\left\|S\left(\mu_{n+1}\right) y_{n+1}-S\left(\mu_{n}\right) y_{n}\right\|-\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\|\right) \leq 0
$$

Applying Lemma 2.74, we obtain

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|S\left(\mu_{n}\right) y_{n}-x_{n}\right\|=0
$$

Therefore, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{3.73}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we will show that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-S\left(\mu_{n}\right) x_{n}\right\|=0$, by the convexity of $\|\cdot\|^{q}$ for all $q>1$, Lemma 2.72 and (3.71), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|^{q}= & \left\|Q_{C}\left[\alpha_{n} \gamma L_{1} x_{n}+\gamma_{n} x_{n}+\left(\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right) I-\alpha_{n} \mu L_{2}\right) S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}\right]-p\right\|^{q} \\
\leq & \left\|\gamma_{n}\left(x_{n}-p\right)+\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right)\left(S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}-p\right)+\alpha_{n}\left(\gamma L_{1} x_{n}-\mu L_{2} S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}\right)\right\|^{q} \\
\leq & \left\|\gamma_{n}\left(x_{n}-p\right)+\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right)\left(S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}-p\right)\right\|^{q} \\
& +q\left\langle\alpha_{n}\left(\gamma L_{1} x_{n}-\mu L_{2} S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}\right), J_{q}\left(\gamma_{n}\left(x_{n}-p\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right)\left(S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}-p\right)\right)\right\rangle \\
& +c_{q}\left\|\alpha_{n}\left(\gamma L_{1} x_{n}-\mu L_{2} S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}\right)\right\|^{q}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\leq & \gamma_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{q}+\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right)\left\|S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}-p\right\|^{q} \\
& +q \alpha_{n}\left\|\gamma L_{1} x_{n}-\mu L_{2} S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}\right\| \\
& \times\left\|\gamma_{n}\left(x_{n}-p\right)+\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right)\left(S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}-p\right)\right\|^{q-1} \\
& +c_{q} \alpha_{n}^{q}\left\|\gamma L_{1} x_{n}-\mu L_{2} S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}\right\|^{q} \\
\leq & \gamma_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{q}+\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right)\left\|z_{n}-p\right\|^{q}+\alpha_{n} M_{1} \\
\leq & \gamma_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{q}+\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right)\left[\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{q}-\lambda_{n}\left(q \beta-c_{q} \lambda_{n}^{q-1}\right)\left\|A x_{n}-A p\right\|^{q}\right] \\
& +\alpha_{n} M_{1} \\
= & \left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{q}-\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right) \lambda_{n}\left(q \beta-c_{q} \lambda_{n}^{q-1}\right)\left\|A x_{n}-A p\right\|^{q}+\alpha_{n} M_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{1}= & \sup _{n \geq 0}\left\{q\left\|\gamma L_{1} x_{n}-\mu L_{2} S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}\right\|\left\|\gamma_{n}\left(x_{n}-p\right)+\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right)\left(S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}-p\right)\right\|^{q-1}\right. \\
& \left.+c_{q} \alpha_{n}^{q-1}\left\|\gamma L_{1} x_{n}-\mu L_{2} S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}\right\|^{q}\right\}<\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

By the convexity of $\|\cdot\|^{q}$ for all $q>1$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|^{q} \leq & \beta_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{q}+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|S\left(\mu_{n}\right) y_{n}-p\right\|^{q} \\
\leq & \beta_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{q}+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|^{q} \\
\leq & \beta_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{q}+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left[\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{q}\right. \\
& -\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right) \lambda_{n}\left(q \beta-c_{q} \lambda_{n}^{q-1}\right)\|A x-A y\|^{q}+\alpha_{n} M_{1} \\
= & \left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{q}-\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right) \lambda_{n}\left(q \beta-c_{q} \lambda_{n}^{q-1}\right)\|A x-A y\|^{q} \\
& +\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \alpha_{n} M_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the fact that $a^{r}-b^{r} \leq r a^{r-1}(a-b), \forall r \geq 1$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)(1- & \left.\gamma_{n}\right) \lambda_{n}\left(q \beta-c_{q} \lambda_{n}^{q-1}\right)\|A x-A y\|^{q} \\
& \leq\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{q}-\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|^{q}+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \alpha_{n} M_{1} \\
& \leq q\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{q-1}\left(\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|-\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|\right)+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \alpha_{n} M_{1} \\
& \leq q\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{q-1}\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\|+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \alpha_{n} M_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

From $0<a \leq \lambda_{n} \leq b<\left(\frac{q \beta}{c_{q}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}}$, the conditions (C1)-(C3), (C6) and (3.73), we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|A x_{n}-A p\right\|=0 \tag{3.74}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Proposition 2.66 (ii) and Lemma 2.71, we also have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|z_{n}-p\right\|^{2} & =\left\|Q_{C}\left(x_{n}-\lambda_{n} A x_{n}\right)-Q_{C}\left(p-\lambda_{n} A p\right)\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left\langle\left(x_{n}-\lambda_{n} A x_{n}\right)-\left(p-\lambda_{n} A p\right), J\left(z_{n}-p\right)\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\left(x_{n}-p\right)-\lambda_{n}\left(A x_{n}-A p\right), J\left(z_{n}-p\right)\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle x_{n}-p, J\left(z_{n}-p\right)\right\rangle-\lambda_{n}\left\langle A x_{n}-A p, J\left(z_{n}-p\right)\right\rangle \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left[\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left\|z_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-g\left\|x_{n}-z_{n}\right\|\right]+\lambda_{n}\left\|A x_{n}-A p\right\|\left\|z_{n}-p\right\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, we get

$$
\left\|z_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-g\left\|x_{n}-z_{n}\right\|+2 \lambda_{n}\left\|A x_{n}-A p\right\|\left\|z_{n}-p\right\|
$$

By Lemma 2.73, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|^{2}= & \left\|Q_{C}\left[\alpha_{n} \gamma L_{1} x_{n}+\gamma_{n} x_{n}+\left(\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right) I-\alpha_{n} \mu L_{2}\right) S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}\right]-p\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & \left\|\gamma_{n}\left(x_{n}-p\right)+\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right)\left(S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}-p\right)+\alpha_{n}\left(\gamma L_{1} x_{n}-\mu L_{2} S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}\right)\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & \left\|\gamma_{n}\left(x_{n}-p\right)+\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right)\left(S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}-p\right)\right\|^{2} \\
& +2 \alpha_{n}\left\langle\gamma L_{1} x_{n}-\mu L_{2} S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}, J\left(\gamma_{n}\left(x_{n}-p\right)+\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right)\left(S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}-p\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\alpha_{n}\left(\gamma L_{1} x_{n}-\mu L_{2} S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}\right)\right)\right\rangle \\
\leq & \gamma_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right)\left\|z_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\alpha_{n} M_{2} \\
\leq & \gamma_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right)\left[\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-g\left\|x_{n}-z_{n}\right\|\right. \\
& \left.+2 \lambda_{n}\left\|A x_{n}-A p\right\|\left\|z_{n}-p\right\|\right]+\alpha_{n} M_{2} \\
= & \left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right) g\left\|x_{n}-z_{n}\right\|+2\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right) \lambda_{n}\left\|A x_{n}-A p\right\|\left\|z_{n}-p\right\| \\
& +\alpha_{n} M_{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{2}= & \sup _{n \geq 0}\left\{2 \left\langle\gamma L_{1} x_{n}-\mu L_{2} S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}, J\left(\gamma_{n}\left(x_{n}-p\right)+\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right)\left(S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}-p\right)\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.+\alpha_{n}\left(\gamma L_{1} x_{n}-\mu L_{2} S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}\right)\right)\right\rangle\right\}<\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

We obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|^{2} \leq & \beta_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|S\left(\mu_{n}\right) y_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & \beta_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & \beta_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left[\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right) g\left\|x_{n}-z_{n}\right\|\right. \\
& \left.+2\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right) \lambda_{n}\left\|A x_{n}-A p\right\|\left\|z_{n}-p\right\|+\alpha_{n} M_{2}\right] \\
= & \left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right) g\left\|x_{n}-z_{n}\right\| \\
& +2\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right) \lambda_{n}\left\|A x_{n}-A p\right\|\left\|z_{n}-p\right\|+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \alpha_{n} M_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right) g\left\|x_{n}-z_{n}\right\| \leq & \left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|^{2} \\
& +2\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right) \lambda_{n}\left\|A x_{n}-A p\right\|\left\|z_{n}-p\right\|+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \alpha_{n} M_{2} \\
\leq & \left\|x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\|\left(\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|\right) \\
& +2\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right) \lambda_{n}\left\|A x_{n}-A p\right\|\left\|z_{n}-p\right\|+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \alpha_{n} M_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the conditions (C1)-(C3), (C6), (3.73) and (3.74), we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} g\left(\left\|x_{n}-z_{n}\right\|\right)=0
$$

It follows from the property of $g$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-z_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{3.75}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similar to the proof of (3.75), we start by using Lemma 2.70 and Lemma 2.73

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|^{2}= & \left\|Q_{C}\left[\alpha_{n} \gamma L_{1} x_{n}+\gamma_{n} x_{n}+\left(\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right) I-\alpha_{n} \mu L_{2}\right) S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}\right]-p\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & \left\|\gamma_{n}\left(x_{n}-p\right)+\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right)\left(S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}-p\right)+\alpha_{n}\left(\gamma L_{1} x_{n}-\mu L_{2} S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}\right)\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & \left\|\gamma_{n}\left(x_{n}-p\right)+\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right)\left(S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}-p\right)\right\|^{2} \\
& +2 \alpha_{n}\left\langle\gamma L_{1} x_{n}-\mu L_{2} S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}, J\left(\gamma_{n}\left(x_{n}-p\right)+\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right)\left(S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}-p\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\alpha_{n}\left(\gamma L_{1} x_{n}-\mu L_{2} S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}\right)\right)\right\rangle \\
\leq & \gamma_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right)\left\|S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \\
& -\gamma_{n}\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right) g\left(\left\|x_{n}-S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}\right\|\right)+\alpha_{n} M_{2} \\
\leq & \gamma_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right)\left\|z_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \\
& -\gamma_{n}\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right) g\left(\left\|x_{n}-S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}\right\|\right)+\alpha_{n} M_{2} \\
\leq & \gamma_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \\
& -\gamma_{n}\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right) g\left(\left\|x_{n}-S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}\right\|\right)+\alpha_{n} M_{2} \\
= & \left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\gamma_{n}\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right) g\left(\left\|x_{n}-S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}\right\|\right)+\alpha_{n} M_{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{2}= & \sup _{n \geq 0}\left\{2 \left\langle\gamma L_{1} x_{n}-\mu L_{2} S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}, J\left(\gamma_{n}\left(x_{n}-p\right)+\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right)\left(S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}-p\right)\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.+\alpha_{n}\left(\gamma L_{1} x_{n}-\mu L_{2} S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}\right)\right)\right\rangle\right\}<\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

We obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|^{2} \leq & \beta_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|S\left(\mu_{n}\right) y_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & \beta_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & \beta_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left[\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}\right. \\
& \left.-\gamma_{n}\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right) g\left(\left\|x_{n}-S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}\right\|\right)+\alpha_{n} M_{2}\right] \\
= & \left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \gamma_{n}\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right) g\left(\left\|x_{n}-S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}\right\|\right)+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \alpha_{n} M_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \gamma_{n}\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right) g\left(\left\|x_{n}-S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}\right\|\right) \leq & \left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \alpha_{n} M_{2} \\
\leq & \left\|x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\|\left(\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|\right) \\
& +\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \alpha_{n} M_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the conditions (C1),(C3),(C6) and (3.73), we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} g\left(\left\|x_{n}-S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}\right\|\right)=0
$$

It follows from the property of $g$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{3.76}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $S\left(\mu_{n}\right)$ is a nonexpansive and from the proof of Lemma 2.77, we get $Q_{C} S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}=$ $S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}$ and observe that
$\left\|y_{n}-S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}\right\|$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\left\|Q_{C}\left[\alpha_{n} \gamma L_{1} x_{n}+\gamma_{n} x_{n}+\left(\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right) I-\alpha_{n} \mu L_{2}\right) S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}\right]-S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|\left[\alpha_{n} \gamma L_{1} x_{n}+\gamma_{n} x_{n}+\left(\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right) I-\alpha_{n} \mu L_{2}\right) S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}\right]-S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}\right\| \\
& =\left\|\alpha_{n}\left(\gamma L_{1} x_{n}-\mu L_{2} S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}\right)+\gamma_{n}\left(x_{n}-S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}\right)\right\| \\
& \leq \alpha_{n}\left\|\gamma L_{1} x_{n}-\mu L_{2} S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}\right\|+\gamma_{n}\left\|x_{n}-S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}\right\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from the conditions (C1), (C6) and (3.76), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|y_{n}-S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{3.77}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x_{n}-S\left(\mu_{n}\right) x_{n}\right\| & \leq\left\|x_{n}-S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}\right\|+\left\|S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}-S\left(\mu_{n}\right) x_{n}\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|x_{n}-S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}\right\|+\left\|z_{n}-x_{n}\right\|,
\end{aligned}
$$

we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-S\left(\mu_{n}\right) x_{n}\right\|=0
$$

Now, we show that $z \in F:=F(\mathcal{S}) \cap V I(C, A)$. We can choose a sequence $\left\{x_{n_{k}}\right\}$ of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ such that $\left\{x_{n_{k}}\right\}$ is bounded and there exists a subsequence $\left\{x_{n_{k_{j}}}\right\}$ of $\left\{x_{n_{k}}\right\}$ which converges weakly to $z$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $x_{n_{k}} \rightharpoonup z$.
(I) First, we show that $z \in F(\mathcal{S})$. Let $\mu_{n_{k}} \geq 0$ such that $\mu_{n_{k}} \rightarrow 0$ and $\frac{\left\|S\left(\mu_{n_{k}}\right) x_{n_{k}}-x_{n_{k}}\right\|}{\mu_{n_{k}}} \rightarrow$ $0, k \rightarrow \infty$. Fix $s>0$, we can notice that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\| x_{n_{k}}- & S(s) z \| \\
\leq & \sum_{i=0}^{\left[s / \mu_{n_{k}}\right]-1}\left\|S\left((i+1) \mu_{n_{k}}\right) x_{n_{k}}-S\left(i \mu_{n_{k}}\right) x_{n_{k}}\right\| \\
& +\left\|S\left(\left[s / \mu_{n_{k}}\right] \mu_{k}\right) x_{n_{k}}-S\left(\left[s / \mu_{n_{k}}\right] \mu_{n_{k}}\right) z\right\| \\
& +\left\|S\left(\left[s / \mu_{n_{k}}\right] \mu_{n_{k}}\right) z-S(s) z\right\| \\
\leq & {\left[s / \mu_{n_{k}}\right]\left\|S\left(\mu_{n_{k}}\right) x_{n_{k}}-x_{n_{k}}\right\|+\left\|x_{n_{k}}-z\right\|+\left\|S\left(s-\left[s / \mu_{n_{k}}\right] \mu_{n_{k}}\right) z-z\right\| } \\
\leq & s \frac{\left\|S\left(\mu_{n_{k}}\right) x_{n_{k}}-x_{n_{k}}\right\|}{\mu_{n_{k}}}+\left\|x_{n_{k}}-z\right\|+\left\|S\left(s-\left[s / \mu_{n_{k}}\right] \mu_{n_{k}}\right) z-z\right\| \\
\leq & s \frac{\left\|S\left(\mu_{n_{k}}\right) x_{n_{k}}-x_{n_{k}}\right\|}{\mu_{n_{k}}}+\left\|x_{n_{k}}-z\right\|+\max \left\{\|S(\mu) z-z\|: 0 \leq \mu \leq \mu_{n_{k}}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

For all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$
\limsup _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n_{k}}-S(s) z\right\| \leq \limsup _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n_{k}}-z\right\|
$$

Since a Banach space $E$ with a weakly sequentially continuous duality mapping satisfies the Opial's condition, this implies $S(s) z=z$.
(II) Next, we show that $z \in V I(C, A)$. From the assumption, we see that the control sequence $\left\{\lambda_{n_{k}}\right\}$ is bounded. So, there exists a subsequence $\left\{\lambda_{n_{k_{j}}}\right\}$ converges to $\lambda_{0}$. We may assume, without loss of generality, that $\lambda_{n_{k}} \rightharpoonup \lambda_{0}$. Observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|Q_{C}\left(x_{n_{k}}-\lambda_{0} A x_{n_{k}}\right)-x_{n_{k}}\right\| \leq & \left\|Q_{C}\left(x_{n_{k}}-\lambda_{0} A x_{n_{k}}\right)-y_{n_{k}}\right\|+\left\|y_{n_{k}}-x_{n_{k}}\right\| \\
\leq & \left\|\left(x_{n_{k}}-\lambda_{0} A x_{n_{k}}\right)-\left(x_{n_{k}}-\lambda_{n_{k}} A x_{n_{k}}\right)\right\| \\
& +\left\|x_{n_{k}}-S\left(\mu_{n_{k}}\right) z_{n_{k}}\right\|+\left\|S\left(\mu_{n_{k}}\right) z_{n_{k}}-y_{n_{k}}\right\| \\
\leq & M\left\|\lambda_{n_{k}}-\lambda_{0}\right\|+\left\|x_{n_{k}}-S\left(\mu_{n_{k}}\right) z_{n_{k}}\right\| \\
& +\left\|S\left(\mu_{n_{k}}\right) z_{n_{k}}-y_{n_{k}}\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

where $M$ is as appropriate constant such that $M \geq \sup _{n \geq 1}\left\{\left\|A x_{n}\right\|\right\}$. It follows from (3.76), (3.77) and $\lambda_{n_{k}} \rightharpoonup \lambda_{0}$ that

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|Q_{C}\left(x_{n_{k}}-\lambda_{0} A x_{n_{k}}\right)-x_{n_{k}}\right\|=0
$$

We know that $Q_{C}\left(I-\lambda_{0} A\right)$ is nonexpansive and it follows from Lemma 2.69 that $z \in F\left(Q_{C}\left(I-\lambda_{0} A\right)\right)$. By using Lemma 2.68, we obtain that $z \in F\left(Q_{C}\left(I-\lambda_{0} A\right)\right)=$ $V I(C, A)$.

Therefore, from (I) and (II), we conclude that $z \in F:=F(\mathcal{S}) \cap V I(C, A)$.
 is the solution of the variational inequality (2.20). Since the Banach space $E$ has a weakly sequentially continuous generalized duality mapping $J_{q}: E \rightarrow E^{*}$ and $y_{n_{k}} \rightharpoonup z$, we obtain that
$\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle\gamma L_{1} x^{*}-\mu L_{2} x^{*}, J_{q}\left(y_{n}-x^{*}\right)\right\rangle$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle\gamma L_{1} x^{*}-\mu L_{2} x^{*}, J_{q}\left(y_{n_{k}}-x^{*}\right)\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\gamma L_{1} x^{*}-\mu L_{2} x^{*}, J_{q}\left(z-x^{*}\right)\right\rangle \leq 0 . \tag{3.78}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, we show that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $x^{*}$. Setting $u_{n}=\alpha_{n} \gamma L_{1} x_{n}+$ $\gamma_{n} x_{n}+\left(\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right) I-\alpha_{n} \mu L_{2}\right) S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}, \forall n \geq 0$, it follows from Lemma 2.76, 2.78 and 2.79 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|y_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{q}= & \left\langle Q_{C} u_{n}-u_{n}, J_{q}\left(y_{n}-x^{*}\right)\right\rangle+\left\langle u_{n}-x^{*}, J_{q}\left(y_{n}-x^{*}\right)\right\rangle \\
\leq & \left\langle u_{n}-x^{*}, J_{q}\left(y_{n}-x^{*}\right)\right\rangle \\
= & \left\langle\left[\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right) I-\alpha_{n} \mu L_{2}\right]\left[S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}-x^{*}\right], J_{q}\left(y_{n}-x^{*}\right)\right\rangle \\
& +\alpha_{n}\left\langle\gamma L_{1} x_{n}-\mu L_{2} x^{*}, J_{q}\left(y_{n}-x^{*}\right)\right\rangle+\gamma_{n}\left\langle x_{n}-x^{*}, J_{q}\left(y_{n}-x^{*}\right)\right\rangle \\
\leq & \left(1-\gamma_{n}-\alpha_{n} \tau\right)\left\|S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}-x^{*}\right\|\left\|y_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{q-1} \\
& +\gamma_{n}\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|\left\|y_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{q-1}+\alpha_{n}\left\langle\gamma L_{1} x_{n}-\gamma L_{1} x^{*}, J_{q}\left(y_{n}-x^{*}\right)\right\rangle \\
& +\alpha_{n}\left\langle\gamma L_{1} x^{*}-\mu L_{2} x^{*}, J_{q}\left(y_{n}-x^{*}\right)\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\leq & \left(1-\gamma_{n}-\alpha_{n} \tau\right)\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|\left\|y_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{q-1} \\
& +\gamma_{n}\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|\left\|y_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{q-1}+\alpha_{n} \gamma L\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|\left\|y_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{q-1} \\
& +\alpha_{n}\left\langle\gamma L_{1} x^{*}-\mu L_{2} x^{*}, J_{q}\left(y_{n}-x^{*}\right)\right\rangle \\
\leq & {\left[1-\alpha_{n}(\tau-\gamma L)\right]\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|\left\|y_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{q-1} } \\
& +\alpha_{n}\left\langle\gamma L_{1} x^{*}-\mu L_{2} x^{*}, J_{q}\left(y_{n}-x^{*}\right)\right\rangle \\
\leq & {\left[1-\alpha_{n}(\tau-\gamma L)\right]\left[\frac{1}{q}\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{q}+\frac{q-1}{q}\left\|y_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{q}\right] } \\
& +\alpha_{n}\left\langle\gamma L_{1} x^{*}-\mu L_{2} x^{*}, J_{q}\left(y_{n}-x^{*}\right)\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|y_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{q} \leq & \frac{1-\alpha_{n}(\tau-\gamma L)}{1+(q-1) \alpha_{n}(\tau-\gamma L)}\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{q} \\
& +\frac{q \alpha_{n}}{1+(q-1) \alpha_{n}(\tau-\gamma L)}\left\langle\gamma L_{1} x^{*}-\mu L_{2} x^{*}, J_{q}\left(y_{n}-x^{*}\right)\right\rangle \\
\leq & {\left[1-\alpha_{n}(\tau-\gamma L)\right]\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{q} } \\
& +\frac{q \alpha_{n}}{1+(q-1) \alpha_{n}(\tau-\gamma L)}\left\langle\gamma L_{1} x^{*}-\mu L_{2} x^{*}, J_{q}\left(y_{n}-x^{*}\right)\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\left\|x_{n+1}-x^{*}\right\|^{q}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\leq & \beta_{n}\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{q}+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|S\left(\mu_{n}\right) y_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{q} \\
\leq & \beta_{n}\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{q}+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|y_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{q} \\
\leq & \beta_{n}\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{q}+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left[\left[1-\alpha_{n}(\tau-\gamma L)\right]\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{q}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{q \alpha_{n}}{1+(q-1) \alpha_{n}(\tau-\gamma L)}\left\langle\gamma L_{1} x^{*}-\mu L_{2} x^{*}, J_{q}\left(y_{n}-x^{*}\right)\right\rangle\right] \\
= & {\left[1-\alpha_{n}(\tau-\gamma L)\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\right]\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{q} } \\
& +\frac{q \alpha_{n}\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)}{1+(q-1) \alpha_{n}(\tau-\gamma L)}\left\langle\gamma L_{1} x^{*}-\mu L_{2} x^{*}, J_{q}\left(y_{n}-x^{*}\right)\right\rangle . \tag{3.79}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, from (C1), (3.78) and applying Lemma 2.75 to (3.79), we get $\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $x^{*} \in F$. The proof is complete.

Corollary 3.83. Let $C$ be a sunny nonexpansive retract and nonempty closed convex subset of a 2-uniformly smooth and uniformly convex Banach space E which admits a weakly sequentially continuous generalized duality mapping $J: E \rightarrow E^{*}$ with the best smooth constant $K$. Let $Q_{C}$ be a sunny nonexpansive retraction from $E$ onto $C, A: C \rightarrow E$ be an $\beta$-inverse-strongly accretive operator, $\mathcal{S}=\{S(s): s \geq 0\}$ be a nonexpansive semigroup from $C$ into itself, $L_{1}: C \rightarrow E$ be a L-Lipschitzian mapping with constant $L \geq 0$ and $L_{2}: C \rightarrow E$ be a $\kappa$-Lipschitzian and $\eta$-strongly accretive operator with constant $\kappa, \eta>0$. Assume $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\},\left\{\beta_{n}\right\},\left\{\gamma_{n}\right\},\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\} \subset(0,1)$, $\left\{\mu_{n}\right\} \subset(0, \infty)$ such that $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\} \subset[a, b] \subset(0,1), 0<\mu<\frac{\eta}{K^{2} \kappa^{2}}, 0<a \leq \lambda_{n} \leq b<$ $\frac{\beta}{K^{2}}, 0 \leq \gamma L<\tau$ where $\tau=\mu\left(\eta-K^{2} \mu \kappa^{2}\right)$ and $F:=F(\mathcal{S}) \cap V I(C, A) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be the sequences defined by $x_{1} \in C$ and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
z_{n}=Q_{C}\left(x_{n}-\lambda_{n} A x_{n}\right) \\
y_{n}=Q_{C}\left[\alpha_{n} \gamma L_{1} x_{n}+\gamma_{n} x_{n}+\left(\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right) I-\alpha_{n} \mu L_{2}\right) S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}\right] \\
x_{n+1}=\beta_{n} x_{n}+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) S\left(\mu_{n}\right) y_{n}
\end{array}\right.
$$

which satisfy the conditions (C1)-(C6) in Theorem 3.82. Then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $x^{*} \in F$ which also solves the following variational inequality:

$$
\left\langle\gamma L_{1} x^{*}-\mu L_{2} x^{*}, J\left(z-x^{*}\right)\right\rangle \leq 0, \forall z \in F .
$$

Corollary 3.84. Let $C$ be a sunny nonexpansive retract and nonempty closed convex subset of a q-uniformly smooth and uniformly convex Banach space $E$ which admits a weakly sequentially continuous generalized duality mapping $J_{q}: E \rightarrow E^{*}$. Let $Q_{C}$ be a sunny nonexpansive retraction from $E$ onto $C, A: C \rightarrow E$ be an $\beta$-inverse-strongly accretive operator, $\mathcal{S}=\{S(s): s \geq 0\}$ be a nonexpansive semigroup from $C$ into itself, $L_{1}: C \rightarrow E$ be a L-Lipschitzian mapping with constant $L \geq 0$ and $L_{2}: C \rightarrow E$ be a $\kappa$-Lipschitzian and $\eta$-strongly accretive operator with constant $\kappa, \eta>0$. Assume $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\},\left\{\beta_{n}\right\},\left\{\gamma_{n}\right\},\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\} \subset(0,1),\left\{\mu_{n}\right\} \subset(0, \infty)$ such that $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\} \subset[a, b] \subset(0,1), 0<\mu<\left(\frac{q \eta}{c_{q} \kappa^{q}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}}$ where $c_{q}$ is a positive real number, $0<a \leq \lambda_{n} \leq b<\left(\frac{q \beta}{c_{q}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}}, 0 \leq \gamma L<\tau$ where $\tau=\mu\left(\eta-\frac{c_{q} \mu^{q-1} \kappa^{q}}{q}\right)$ and
$F:=F(\mathcal{S}) \cap V I(C, A) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be the sequences defined by $x_{1} \in C$ and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
z_{n}=Q_{C}\left(x_{n}-\lambda_{n} A x_{n}\right) \\
y_{n}=Q_{C}\left[\alpha_{n} \gamma L_{1} x_{n}+\gamma_{n} x_{n}+\left(\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right) I-\alpha_{n} \mu L_{2}\right) \frac{1}{t_{n}} \int_{0}^{t_{n}} S(s) z_{n} d s\right] \\
x_{n+1}=\beta_{n} x_{n}+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \frac{1}{t_{n}} \int_{0}^{t_{n}} S(s) y_{n} d s
\end{array}\right.
$$

which satisfy the conditions (C1)-(C3) and (C6) in Theorem 3.82 and assume that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{x \in \tilde{C}}\left\|\frac{1}{t_{n+1}} \int_{0}^{t_{n+1}} S(s) x d s-\frac{1}{t_{n}} \int_{0}^{t_{n}} S(s) x d s\right\|=0
$$

$\tilde{C}$ bounded subset of $C, \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{n}=\infty$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu_{n}}{\mu_{n+1}}=1$. Then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $x^{*} \in F$ which also solves the following variational inequality:

$$
\left\langle\gamma L_{1} x^{*}-\mu L_{2} x^{*}, J_{q}\left(z-x^{*}\right)\right\rangle \leq 0, \forall z \in F .
$$

Corollary 3.85. Let $C$ be a sunny nonexpansive retract and nonempty closed convex subset of a q-uniformly smooth and uniformly convex Banach space E which admits a weakly sequentially continuous generalized duality mapping $J_{q}: E \rightarrow E^{*}$. Let $Q_{C}$ be a sunny nonexpansive retraction from $E$ onto $C, A: C \rightarrow E$ be an $\beta$-inverse-strongly accretive operator, $L_{1}: C \rightarrow E$ be a L-Lipschitzian mapping with constant $L \geq 0$ and $L_{2}: C \rightarrow E$ be a $\kappa$-Lipschitzian and $\eta$-strongly accretive operator with constant $\kappa, \eta>0$. Assume $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\},\left\{\beta_{n}\right\},\left\{\gamma_{n}\right\},\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\} \subset(0,1)$ such that $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\} \subset[a, b] \subset(0,1), 0<\mu<\left(\frac{q \eta}{c_{q} \kappa^{q}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}}$ where $c_{q}$ is a positive real number, $0<a \leq \lambda_{n} \leq b<\left(\frac{q \beta}{c_{q}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}}, 0 \leq \gamma L<\tau$ where $\tau=\mu\left(\eta-\frac{c_{q} \mu^{q-1} \kappa^{q}}{q}\right)$ and $F:=\operatorname{VI}(C, A) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be the sequences defined by $x_{1} \in C$ and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
z_{n}=Q_{C}\left(x_{n}-\lambda_{n} A x_{n}\right) \\
y_{n}=Q_{C}\left[\alpha_{n} \gamma L_{1} x_{n}+\gamma_{n} x_{n}+\left(\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right) I-\alpha_{n} \mu L_{2}\right) z_{n}\right] \\
x_{n+1}=\beta_{n} x_{n}+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) y_{n}
\end{array}\right.
$$

which satisfy the conditions (C1)-(C3) and (C6) in Theorem 3.82. Then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $x^{*} \in F$ which also solves the following variational inequality:

$$
\left\langle\gamma L_{1} x^{*}-\mu L_{2} x^{*}, J_{q}\left(z-x^{*}\right)\right\rangle \leq 0, \forall z \in F .
$$

Proof. Taking $\mu_{n}=0$ in Theorem 3.82, we can conclude the desired conclusion easily. The proof is complete.

## CHAPTER V

## CONCLUSIONS

1. Let $H$ be a real Hilbert space, $T, S, K: H \longrightarrow H$ a nonexpansive mapping satisfy the condition $\left(A^{\prime \prime}\right)$ with $\Omega:=F(T) \cap F(S) \cap F(K) \cap M E P(F, \varphi) \neq \emptyset$. Let $f: H \longrightarrow H$ an $\eta_{f}$-strongly monotone and $k_{f}$-Lipschitzian mapping, $g: H \longrightarrow H$ an $\eta_{g}$-strongly monotone and $k_{g}$-Lipschitzian mapping, $h: H \longrightarrow H$ an $\eta_{h}$-strongly monotone and $k_{h}$-Lipschitzian mapping. For any $x_{0} \in H,\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is defined by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
z_{n}=c_{n} x_{n}+\left(1-c_{n}\right) K_{h}^{\alpha_{n}} T_{r_{n}} x_{n}  \tag{3.80}\\
y_{n}=b_{n} x_{n}+\left(1-b_{n}\right) S_{g}^{\beta_{n}} z_{n} \\
x_{n+1}=a_{n} x_{n}+\left(1-a_{n}\right) T_{f}^{\lambda_{n+1}} y_{n}, \quad \forall n \geq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{f}^{\lambda_{n+1}} x & =T x-\lambda_{n+1} \mu_{f} f(T x), \quad \forall x \in H \\
S_{g}^{\beta_{n}} x & =S x-\beta_{n} \mu_{g} g(S x), \quad \forall x \in H  \tag{3.81}\\
K_{h}^{\alpha_{n}} x & =K x-\alpha_{n} \mu_{h} h(K x), \quad \forall x \in H
\end{align*}
$$

and $\left\{a_{n}\right\} \subset(0,1),\left\{b_{n}\right\} \subset(0,1),\left\{c_{n}\right\} \subset(0,1)$ and $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\} \subset[0,1),\left\{\beta_{n}\right\} \subset[0,1)$, $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\} \subset[0,1),\left\{r_{n}\right\} \subset(0, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions:
(i) $\alpha \leq a_{n} \leq \beta, \alpha \leq b_{n} \leq \beta, \alpha \leq c_{n} \leq \beta$ for some $\alpha, \beta \in(0,1)$,
(ii) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n}<\infty, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_{n}<\infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n}<\infty$,
(iii) $0<\mu_{f}<2 \eta_{f} / k_{f}^{2}, 0<\mu_{g}<2 \eta_{g} / k_{g}^{2}$ and $0<\mu_{h}<2 \eta_{h} / k_{h}^{2}$,
(iv) $\lim \inf _{n \longrightarrow \infty} r_{n}>0$.

Then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to a point $x^{*} \in \Omega$.
2. Let $H$ be a real Hilbert space, $T, S, K: H \longrightarrow H$ a nonexpansive mapping satisfy the condition $\left(A^{\prime \prime}\right)$ with $F(T) \cap F(S) \cap F(K) \neq \emptyset$. Let $f: H \longrightarrow H$ an $\eta_{f}$-strongly monotone and $k_{f}$-Lipschitzian mapping, $g: H \longrightarrow H$ an $\eta_{g}$-strongly monotone and $k_{g}$-Lipschitzian mapping, $h: H \longrightarrow H$ an $\eta_{h}$-strongly monotone and $k_{h}$-Lipschitzian mapping. For any $x_{0} \in H,\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is defined by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
z_{n}=c_{n} x_{n}+\left(1-c_{n}\right) K_{h}^{\alpha_{n}} x_{n}  \tag{3.82}\\
y_{n}=b_{n} x_{n}+\left(1-b_{n}\right) S_{g}^{\beta_{n}} z_{n} \\
x_{n+1}=a_{n} x_{n}+\left(1-a_{n}\right) T_{f}^{\lambda_{n+1}} y_{n}, \quad \forall n \geq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{f}^{\lambda_{n+1}} x & =T x-\lambda_{n+1} \mu_{f} f(T x), \quad \forall x \in H \\
S_{g}^{\beta_{n}} x & =S x-\beta_{n} \mu_{g} g(S x), \quad \forall x \in H  \tag{3.83}\\
K_{h}^{\alpha_{n}} x & =K x-\alpha_{n} \mu_{h} h(K x), \quad \forall x \in H
\end{align*}
$$

and $\left\{a_{n}\right\} \subset(0,1),\left\{b_{n}\right\} \subset(0,1),\left\{c_{n}\right\} \subset(0,1)$ and $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\} \subset[0,1),\left\{\beta_{n}\right\} \subset[0,1)$, $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\} \subset[0,1)$ satisfying the following conditions:
(i) $\alpha \leq a_{n} \leq \beta, \alpha \leq b_{n} \leq \beta, \alpha \leq c_{n} \leq \beta$ for some $\alpha, \beta \in(0,1)$,
(ii) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n}<\infty, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_{n}<\infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n}<\infty$,
(iii) $0<\mu_{f}<2 \eta_{f} / k_{f}^{2}, 0<\mu_{g}<2 \eta_{g} / k_{g}^{2}$ and $0<\mu_{h}<2 \alpha_{h} / k_{h}^{2}$.

Then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converge strongly to a point $x^{*} \in F(T) \cap F(S) \cap F(K)$.
3. Let $C$ be a sunny nonexpansive retract and nonempty closed convex subset of a $q$-uniformly smooth and uniformly convex Banach space $E$ which admits a weakly sequentially continuous generalized duality mapping $J_{q}: E \rightarrow E^{*}$. Let $Q_{C}$ be a sunny nonexpansive retraction from $E$ onto $C, A: C \rightarrow E$ be an $\beta$-inversestrongly accretive operator, $\mathcal{S}=\{S(s): s \geq 0\}$ be a nonexpansive semigroup from $C$ into itself, $L_{1}: C \rightarrow E$ be a $L$-Lipschitzian mapping with constant $L \geq 0$ and
$L_{2}: C \rightarrow E$ be a $\kappa$-Lipschitzian and $\eta$-strongly accretive operator with constant $\kappa, \eta>0$. Assume $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\},\left\{\beta_{n}\right\},\left\{\gamma_{n}\right\},\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\} \subset(0,1),\left\{\mu_{n}\right\} \subset(0, \infty)$ such that $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\} \subset$ $[a, b] \subset(0,1), 0<\mu<\left(\frac{q \eta}{c_{q} k^{q}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}}$ where $c_{q}$ is a positive real number, $0<a \leq \lambda_{n} \leq$ $b<\left(\frac{q \beta}{c_{q}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}}, 0 \leq \gamma L<\tau$ where $\tau=\mu\left(\eta-\frac{c_{q} \mu^{q-1} \kappa^{q}}{q}\right)$ and $F:=F(\mathcal{S}) \cap V I(C, A) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be the sequences defined by $x_{1} \in C$ and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
z_{n}=Q_{C}\left(x_{n}-\lambda_{n} A x_{n}\right)  \tag{3.84}\\
y_{n}=Q_{C}\left[\alpha_{n} \gamma L_{1} x_{n}+\gamma_{n} x_{n}+\left(\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right) I-\alpha_{n} \mu L_{2}\right) S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}\right] \\
x_{n+1}=\beta_{n} x_{n}+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) S\left(\mu_{n}\right) y_{n}
\end{array}\right.
$$

which satisfy the following conditions:
(C1) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n}=0, \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{n}=\infty ;$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\alpha_{n+1}-\alpha_{n}\right|=0$;
(C2) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\lambda_{n+1}-\lambda_{n}\right|=0, \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{n}>0$;
(C3) $0<\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_{n} \leq \limsup \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_{n}<1$;
(C4) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{n}=0$;
(C5) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{x \in \tilde{C}}\left\|S\left(\mu_{n+1}\right) x-S\left(\mu_{n}\right) x\right\|=0, \tilde{C}$ bounded subset of $C$;
(C6) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\gamma_{n+1}-\gamma_{n}\right|=0,0<\liminf \operatorname{in}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{n} \leq \lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{n}<1$.

Then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $x^{*} \in F$ which also solves
4. Let $C$ be a sunny nonexpansive retract and nonempty closed convex subset of a 2-uniformly smooth and uniformly convex Banach space $E$ which admits a weakly sequentially continuous generalized duality mapping $J: E \rightarrow E^{*}$ with the best smooth constant K . Let $Q_{C}$ be a sunny nonexpansive retraction from $E$ onto $C, A: C \rightarrow E$ be an $\beta$-inverse-strongly accretive operator, $\mathcal{S}=\{S(s): s \geq 0\}$ be a nonexpansive semigroup from $C$ into itself, $L_{1}: C \rightarrow E$ be a $L$-Lipschitzian mapping with constant $L \geq 0$ and $L_{2}: C \rightarrow E$ be a $\kappa$-Lipschitzian and $\eta$-strongly accretive operator with constant $\kappa, \eta>0$. Assume $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\},\left\{\beta_{n}\right\},\left\{\gamma_{n}\right\},\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\} \subset(0,1)$,
$\left\{\mu_{n}\right\} \subset(0, \infty)$ such that $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\} \subset[a, b] \subset(0,1), 0<\mu<\frac{\eta}{K^{2} \kappa^{2}}, 0<a \leq \lambda_{n} \leq b<$ $\frac{\beta}{K^{2}}, 0 \leq \gamma L<\tau$ where $\tau=\mu\left(\eta-K^{2} \mu \kappa^{2}\right)$ and $F:=F(\mathcal{S}) \cap V I(C, A) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be the sequences defined by $x_{1} \in C$ and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
z_{n}=Q_{C}\left(x_{n}-\lambda_{n} A x_{n}\right) \\
y_{n}=Q_{C}\left[\alpha_{n} \gamma L_{1} x_{n}+\gamma_{n} x_{n}+\left(\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right) I-\alpha_{n} \mu L_{2}\right) S\left(\mu_{n}\right) z_{n}\right] \\
x_{n+1}=\beta_{n} x_{n}+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) S\left(\mu_{n}\right) y_{n}
\end{array}\right.
$$

which satisfy the conditions (C1)-(C6) in Theorem 3.82. Then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $x^{*} \in F$ which also solves the following variational inequality:

$$
\left\langle\gamma L_{1} x^{*}-\mu L_{2} x^{*}, J\left(z-x^{*}\right)\right\rangle \leq 0, \forall z \in F
$$

5. Let $C$ be a sunny nonexpansive retract and nonempty closed convex subset of a $q$-uniformly smooth and uniformly convex Banach space $E$ which admits a weakly sequentially continuous generalized duality mapping $J_{q}: E \rightarrow E^{*}$. Let $Q_{C}$ be a sunny nonexpansive retraction from $E$ onto $C, A: C \rightarrow E$ be an $\beta$-inversestrongly accretive operator, $\mathcal{S}=\{S(s): s \geq 0\}$ be a nonexpansive semigroup from $C$ into itself, $L_{1}: C \rightarrow E$ be a $L$-Lipschitzian mapping with constant $L \geq 0$ and $L_{2}: C \rightarrow E$ be a $\kappa$-Lipschitzian and $\eta$-strongly accretive operator with constant $\kappa, \eta>0$. Assume $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\},\left\{\beta_{n}\right\},\left\{\gamma_{n}\right\},\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\} \subset(0,1),\left\{\mu_{n}\right\} \subset(0, \infty)$ such that $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\} \subset$ $[a, b] \subset(0,1), 0<\mu<\left(\frac{q \eta}{c_{q} \kappa^{q}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}}$ where $c_{q}$ is a positive real number, $0<a \leq \lambda_{n} \leq$ $b<\left(\frac{q \beta}{c_{q}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}}, 0 \leq \gamma L<\tau$ where $\tau=\mu\left(\eta-\frac{c_{q} \mu^{q-1} \kappa^{q}}{q}\right)$ and $F:=F(\mathcal{S}) \cap V I(C, A) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be the sequences defined by $x_{1} \in C$ and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
z_{n}=Q_{C}\left(x_{n}-\lambda_{n} A x_{n}\right) \\
y_{n}=Q_{C}\left[\alpha_{n} \gamma L_{1} x_{n}+\gamma_{n} x_{n}+\left(\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right) I-\alpha_{n} \mu L_{2}\right) \frac{1}{t_{n}} \int_{0}^{t_{n}} S(s) z_{n} d s\right] \\
x_{n+1}=\beta_{n} x_{n}+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \frac{1}{t_{n}} \int_{0}^{t_{n}} S(s) y_{n} d s
\end{array}\right.
$$

which satisfy the conditions (C1)-(C3) and (C6) in Theorem 3.82 and assume that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{x \in \tilde{C}}\left\|\frac{1}{t_{n+1}} \int_{0}^{t_{n+1}} S(s) x d s-\frac{1}{t_{n}} \int_{0}^{t_{n}} S(s) x d s\right\|=0
$$

$\tilde{C}$ bounded subset of $C, \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{n}=\infty$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu_{n}}{\mu_{n+1}}=1$. Then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $x^{*} \in F$ which also solves the following variational inequality:

$$
\left\langle\gamma L_{1} x^{*}-\mu L_{2} x^{*}, J_{q}\left(z-x^{*}\right)\right\rangle \leq 0, \forall z \in F .
$$

6. Let $C$ be a sunny nonexpansive retract and nonempty closed convex subset of a $q$-uniformly smooth and uniformly convex Banach space $E$ which admits a weakly sequentially continuous generalized duality mapping $J_{q}: E \rightarrow E^{*}$. Let $Q_{C}$ be a sunny nonexpansive retraction from $E$ onto $C, A: C \rightarrow E$ be an $\beta$-inverse-strongly accretive operator, $L_{1}: C \rightarrow E$ be a $L$-Lipschitzian mapping with constant $L \geq 0$ and $L_{2}: C \rightarrow E$ be a $\kappa$-Lipschitzian and $\eta$-strongly accretive operator with constant $\kappa, \eta>0$. Assume $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\},\left\{\beta_{n}\right\},\left\{\gamma_{n}\right\},\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\} \subset(0,1)$ such that $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\} \subset[a, b] \subset(0,1)$, $0<\mu<\left(\frac{q \eta}{c_{q} \kappa^{q}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}}$ where $c_{q}$ is a positive real number, $0<a \leq \lambda_{n} \leq b<\left(\frac{q \beta}{c_{q}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}}$, $0 \leq \gamma L<\tau$ where $\tau=\mu\left(\eta-\frac{c_{q} \mu^{q-1} \kappa^{q}}{q}\right)$ and $F:=V I(C, A) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be the sequences defined by $x_{1} \in C$ and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
z_{n}=Q_{C}\left(x_{n}-\lambda_{n} A x_{n}\right) \\
y_{n}=Q_{C}\left[\alpha_{n} \gamma L_{1} x_{n}+\gamma_{n} x_{n}+\left(\left(1-\gamma_{n}\right) I-\alpha_{n} \mu L_{2}\right) z_{n}\right] \\
x_{n+1}=\beta_{n} x_{n}+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) y_{n}
\end{array}\right.
$$

which satisfy the conditions (C1)-(C3) and (C6) in Theorem 3.82. Then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $x^{*} \in F$ which also solves the following variational inequality:

$$
\left\langle\gamma L_{1} x^{*}-\mu L_{2} x^{*}, J_{q}\left(z-x^{*}\right)\right\rangle \leq 0, \forall z \in F
$$
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